

ภาวะมีบุตรยากเนื่องจากความผิดปกติของท่อนำไข่ในโรงพยาบาลศรีนครินทร์

สุพัตร์ สินะวัฒน์, จิรัชดา ปัทมดิลก, กนก สีจอร์

ภาควิชาสูติศาสตร์และนรีเวชวิทยา คณะแพทยศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยขอนแก่น

Tubal Infertility in Srinagarind Hospital

Supat Sinawat, Jeerichuda Pattamadilok, Kanok Seejorn

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Thailand

วัตถุประสงค์: เพื่อศึกษาความชุกของความผิดปกติของท่อนำไข่ในสตรีที่มีบุตรยากที่มารับการรักษาในโรงพยาบาลศรีนครินทร์

รูปแบบการทำวิจัย: การวิจัยเชิงพรรณนา

สถานที่ทำวิจัย: คลินิกมีบุตรยากโรงพยาบาลศรีนครินทร์ คณะแพทยศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยขอนแก่น

กลุ่มตัวอย่าง: สตรีมีบุตรยากจำนวน 523 ราย ที่ได้รับการดูแลรักษาที่คลินิกมีบุตรยาก โรงพยาบาลศรีนครินทร์ ระหว่างวันที่ 1 มกราคม 2541 ถึง 31 ธันวาคม 2543

ตัววัดที่สำคัญ: ความชุกของความผิดปกติของท่อนำไข่

ผลการวิจัย: ในจำนวนผู้ป่วย 523 ราย ที่ทำการศึกษามีผู้ป่วย 387 ราย (ร้อยละ 74.0) ที่ได้รับการวินิจฉัยว่ามีภาวะมีบุตรยากแบบปฐมภูมิ ในขณะที่ผู้ป่วยส่วนที่เหลือจำนวน 136 ราย (ร้อยละ 26.0) มีภาวะมีบุตรยากแบบทุติยภูมิ ระยะเวลาเฉลี่ยที่ผู้ป่วยเผชิญภาวะมีบุตรยากคือ 5.0 ปี วิธีการที่ใช้ตรวจประเมินท่อนำไข่ในการศึกษานี้ได้แก่ การตรวจด้วยวิธีฉีดสีเข้าโพรงมดลูก (ทำในผู้ป่วย 417 ราย หรือร้อยละ 79.7) การผ่าตัดส่องกล้องสำรวจช่องท้อง (ทำในผู้ป่วย 18 ราย หรือ ร้อยละ 3.4) หรือใช้วิธีการทั้งสองอย่างร่วมกัน (ทำในผู้ป่วย 88 ราย หรือร้อยละ 16.8) การศึกษานี้พบว่าในผู้ป่วยที่ถูกเลือกเข้าทำการศึกษามีความชุกของความผิดปกติที่ท่อนำไข่เท่ากับร้อยละ 26.8 (140 จาก 523 ราย) ซึ่งชนิดของความผิดปกติที่ท่อนำไข่ที่ตรวจพบ คือ ท่อนำไข่ส่วนต้นอุดตัน (ร้อยละ 42.8) ท่อนำไข่มีพยาธิสภาพหลายตำแหน่ง (ร้อยละ 32.9) ท่อนำไข่ส่วนปลายอุดตัน (ร้อยละ 9.3) ท่อนำไข่บวมน้ำ (ร้อยละ 5.7) ภาวะพังผืดรอบท่อนำไข่ (ร้อยละ 5.7) และความผิดปกติอื่นๆ (ร้อยละ 3.6) สำหรับพยาธิสภาพร่วมในอุ้งเชิงกรานที่พบจากการส่องกล้องสำรวจช่องท้องได้แก่ เยื่อโพรงมดลูกเจริญผิดที่ (ร้อยละ 55.7) พังผืดในอุ้งเชิงกราน (ร้อยละ 27.4) เนื้องอกกล้ามเนื้อมดลูก (ร้อยละ 12.3) และถุงน้ำรังไข่ (ร้อยละ 0.9)

สรุป: ความผิดปกติที่ท่อนำไข่เป็นภาวะที่พบได้ถึง 1 ใน 4 ของสตรีที่มีภาวะมีบุตรยากที่มารับการรักษาที่โรงพยาบาลศรีนครินทร์

Objective: To determine the prevalence of tubal abnormalities among infertile patients treated at Srinagarind Hospital.

Design: A descriptive study

Setting: Infertility clinic, Srinagarind hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Thailand.

Subject: Total of 523 female patients being treated at infertility clinic, Srinagarind hospital between 1 January 1998 to 31 December 2000.

Method: A retrospective review of demographic data, baseline infertility information and the results of tubal assessments (including both hysterosalpingography and laparoscopy) were conducted.

Main outcome measure: Prevalence of tubal abnormalities in infertile females being treated in our infertility clinic during the study period.

Results: Among the 523 patients being recruited to this study, 387 cases (74.0%) were diagnosed with primary infertility while the rest (136 patients or 26.0%) came to the clinic due to secondary infertility. The mean infertile period of all study subjects was 5.0 years. Regarding the methods used for tubal assessments, hysterosalpingography (HSG), laparoscopy and combination of the two methods were conducted in 417 cases (79.7%), 18 cases (3.4%) and 88 cases (16.8%), respectively. The prevalence of tubal abnormalities demonstrated in this study was 26.8 % (140 from 523 cases). Among the 140 patients with tubal abnormalities, the pathologies detected were cornual occlusion (42.8%), combined tubal abnormalities (32.9%), distal tubal occlusion (9.3%), hydrosalpinx (5.7%), peritubal adhesion (5.7%), and other abnormalities (3.6%). Other pelvic pathologies detected from laparoscopy were endometriosis (55.7%), pelvic adhesion (27.4%),

การศึกษาเพิ่มเติมเพื่อสืบค้นถึงสาเหตุของพยาธิสภาพเหล่านี้จะมีประโยชน์ในการป้องกันความผิดปกติที่ท่อนำไข่อันเป็นสาเหตุของภาวะมีบุตรยาก

leiomyoma (12.3%), and ovarian cyst (0.9%).

Conclusion: Tubal abnormalities were detected in over one-fourth of all infertile females being treated at Srinagarind hospital. Further study investigating the etiologies of these abnormalities is needed since it could be the measure to bring down the occurrence of such conditions.

ศรีนครินทร์เวชสาร 2547; 19(2), 131-135 • Srinagarind Med J 2004; 19(2), 131-135

Introduction

Infertility is a common reproductive health problem. It is estimated that 1 in every 7-9 couples will experience difficulties in getting pregnant.¹ At Srinagarind hospital, there are approximately 300 couples seeking infertility treatment annually. One of the main causes of infertility is tubal obstruction. The prevalence of this type of infertility varied greatly in different countries in which it was studied. In developed countries, tubal obstruction was found in 36% of infertile women; however, in Asia this percentage reached 39%, in Latin America 44%, and in Africa 85%⁽²⁾. Several factors have been claimed to be associated with the occurrence of tubal infertility. These include sexually transmitted diseases, multiple sexual partners, early age at first sexual intercourse, race, socioeconomic and marital status, level of education, previous use of contraceptive methods, septic abortion, smoking, alcoholism, and abdominal or pelvic surgery^(3, 4). These factors obviously varied from one culture to the others and hence it is interesting to determine the prevalence of tubal abnormalities among Thai infertile females since it may differ from those previously reported in the literature.

Materials and Methods

We conducted a retrospective review of all infertile females being treated at Srinagarind hospital, the only fully-equipped infertility center in Northeastern Thailand, between 1 January 1998 to 31 December 2000. The demographic data, baseline infertility information, laboratory results and the results of tubal assessments using both laparoscopy and hysterosalpingography (HSG)

were recorded and analysed. Only patients with full details of infertility data as well as tubal assessment results were recruited to the study. This study was approved by the ethical committee of Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen university.

Results

During the study period, there were 811 infertile females being treated at Srinagarind hospital. Total of 523 cases were recruited into the study since the rest of the patients (240 cases or 38.5%) did not meet the inclusion criteria of this study (infertility data was insufficient or no tubal assessment results). Among the 523 subjects being studied, primary and secondary infertility were diagnosed in 387 cases (74.0%) and 136 cases (26.0%), respectively.

This study demonstrated that the mean age of the study subjects was 30.1 ± 5.4 years, the mean marital period was 5.5 ± 3.4 years and the mean duration of infertility was 5.0 ± 3.9 years. The majority of the patients (518 case or 99%) lived in the North-east region of Thailand. Most of the patients were farmers (39.0%) and governmental officers (26.0%). The majority of the patients (69.4%) had education below the university level (Table 1).

Among the study subjects, there were only 74 cases (14.1%) using contraception prior to being treated at our infertility clinic. The most common contraceptive method used was oral contraceptive (72.9%) while intrauterine device was utilized in 2 cases (16.2%). The mean duration of contraceptive use among these 74 cases was 2.17 ± 1.6 years.

Table 1 Demographic data of the patients

| Information | Number of the patients (%) N = 523 |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Living location | |
| Khon Kaen province | 178 (34.0%) |
| Other provinces in NE region | 340 (65.0%) |
| Outside NE region | 5 (1.0%) |
| Education | |
| Primary school | 261 (49.9%) |
| Secondary school | 68 (13.0%) |
| Junior college | 35 (6.7%) |
| University (Bachelor degree) | 153 (29.3%) |
| Master degree/PhD | 6 (1.1%) |
| Occupation | |
| Farmers | 204 (39.0%) |
| Government officers | 136 (26.0%) |
| Labourers | 64 (12.2%) |
| Traders | 51 (9.8%) |
| Housewives | 22 (4.2%) |
| Others | 46 (8.8%) |

This study revealed that among all study subjects, there were 59 cases presenting with past gynecologic problems. These include endometriosis (30.5%), ectopic pregnancies (20.3%), abnormal uterine bleeding (20.3%), pelvic inflammatory diseases (15.3%), STD (1.7%) and others (such as myoma uteri, ovarian cyst, etc.; 11.9%). There were 30 patients (5.7%) who had history of pelvic or lower abdominal surgeries prior to recruitment into the present study. The majorities of these surgeries were salpingectomy (30%), ovarian cystectomy (23.3%), appendectomy (13.3%), myomectomy (10%) and cesarean section (10%). Among the 136 patients diagnosed with secondary infertility, 26 cases (19.1%) had history of illegal induced abortion.

There were three methods being used to assess tubal status in the present study. These included hysterosalpingography (performed in 417 cases or 79.7%), laparoscopy (performed in 18 cases or 3.4%), and combination of the two methods (performed in 88 cases or 16.8%). The prevalence of tubal abnormalities demonstrated in this study was 26.8% (140 from 523 cases). Among these 140 cases, tubal abnormalities detected were cornual occlusion (42.8%), distal tubal occlusion (9.3%), hydrosalpinx (5.7%), peritubal adhesion

(5.7%), combined tubal abnormalities (32.9%) and others (3.6%) as shown in table 2.

Among the 106 patients who had laparoscopy performed, extra-tubal pelvic pathologies were detected in 102 cases (96.2%). These abnormalities included pelvic endometriosis (55.7%), pelvic adhesion (27.4%), myoma uteri (12.3%), and ovarian cyst (0.9%).

Discussion

Couples may present to their physicians complaining of infertility after failing to conceive for months or years. Tubal damage is a common cause of infertility, and laparoscopy or hysterosalpingography are accepted methods for diagnosing this condition.⁵ The prevalence of tubal infertility varies greatly from one area to the others.^{6,7} This study demonstrated that the prevalence of tubal abnormalities among infertile females treated at Srinagarind hospital was 26.8%. This figure was comparable to a 29.7% prevalence previously reported from Ramathibordi hospital in Bangkok, Thailand⁶. This prevalence, however, was relatively lower than that being reported by Cates et al. which revealed that, during the 1980s, the prevalence of tubal obstruction among infertile patients were 36% in developed countries, 39% in Asia, 44% in Latin America, and 85% in Africa². The discrepancy between the prevalence reported by Cates and that of ours could partly be explained by the fact that these two studies were conducted in different time frame. The studies by Cates et al. analysed the patients seeking infertility treatment during the 1980s, about one decade earlier than the time period being investigated in the present study. This time-frame difference therefore could result in alterations in several factors attributed to the occurrence

Table 2 Types of tubal abnormalities detected

| Types of tubal abnormalities | Number of patients (%) |
|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Cornual occlusion | 60 (42.8) |
| Combined tubal abnormalities | 46 (32.9) |
| Distal tubal occlusion | 13 (9.3) |
| Peritubal adhesion | 8 (5.7) |
| Hydrosalpinx | 8 (5.7) |
| Others | 5 (3.6) |
| Total | 140 (100.0) |

of tubal abnormalities and hence the difference in its prevalence. Genital *Chlamydial trachomatis* infection has a worldwide distribution⁸ and is now recognised as the single most common cause of tubal peritoneal damage.^{9, 10} As personal recognition regarding genital hygiene has been improved over time, it thus seems justified to foresee the decreasing trend of tubal infertility as time passes.

Several factors have been claimed to increase the risk of tubal infertility. These include repeated episode of salpingitis^{7, 11}, sexually transmitted diseases, multiple sexual partners, early age at sexual intercourse, race, socio-economic and marital status, smoking, alcoholism, and abdominal or pelvic surgeries.^{3, 4} This study revealed that 11.28% of the study subjects (59 from 523 cases) presented with risk factors of tubal infertility prior to recruitment into the study. Further analysis (data not shown) indicated that there was no difference in the distribution of these risk factors between the patients with normal fallopian tubes and those with tubal abnormalities. The descriptive nature of this study, however, precludes the possibility to evaluate the association between these factors and the occurrence of tubal abnormalities. Further study using a case control design, thus, is required to overcome the limitation presented in our study.

Another limitation of this study that should be acknowledged is that the subjects recruited into this study consisted of about 64.5% of the total patients being treated in our center during the study period and hence about 35.5% of the data in target population was not included for analysis. There is thus a possibility that information presented in these missing subjects could differ from those acquired in the study subjects and hence the validity of this study could possibly be compromised. A larger scale prospective study is recommended to solve this problem but the expense and time required to conduct such study may reduce its interest.

Three methods had been used in the present study as the measures to assess tubal status. These include hysterosalpingography (HSG), laparoscopy and the combination of both methods. Several studies demonstrated that HSG is a good screening test for tubal occlusion although it has some limitations in evaluating extra-luminal pathology such as pelvic adhesion distant from the fallopian tubes or pelvic endometriosis.⁵ The advantage of laparoscopy presented in this study is that this method provides the physician the opportunity to

thoroughly evaluate tubal status as well as other pelvic structures. The data from our study revealed a high prevalence (96.2%) of extra-tubal pelvic pathologies. Endometriosis was the disease most commonly detected by laparoscopy (55.7 % of cases underwent laparoscopic procedures). Other conditions such as pelvic adhesions and myoma uteri were found in more than one-third of the cases. These pathologies, in some extent, can attribute to the reduction in fertility potentials of the patients and hence proper management after correct diagnosis being established is needed to improve the prognosis of such cases. Based on the results of the present study, we therefore propose that laparoscopy should be considered as part of female infertility investigation since it is helpful in revealing extra-tubal pathologies that seems to occur quite commonly in such patients.

The most common type of tubal abnormalities demonstrated in this study was cornual occlusion (42.8%). Several factors were proposed as the causes of cornual occlusion. These include salpingitis isthmica nodosa, pelvic inflammatory disease, pelvic tuberculosis, endometrial polyp and submucous fibroid.¹² Cornual occlusion due to infection is often associated with microscopic damage along the length of the tube and so there is a poor prognosis for tubal surgery in such cases. In vitro fertilisation (IVF) is usually the treatment of choice for these patients presented with moderate to severe tubal damage. Although IVF proves to be reasonably successful in patients suffering from tubal pathologies being treated in most infertility centres, it consists of several stressful treatment steps and the cost is exceptionally high. It thus seems justified to advocate prevention measure against tubal diseases in order to minimise the chance of experiencing tubal infertility. Providing health information to reproductive-aged women regarding factors attributed to tubal damage could be one of these measures.

References

1. Mosher WD, Pratt WF. Fecundity and infertility in the United States: incidence and trends. *Fertil Steril* 1991; 56: 192- 3.
2. Cates W, Farley TM, Roew PJ. Worldwide patterns of infertility: is Africa different? *Lancet* 1985;2:596-8.
3. Aral SO, Soskoline V, Joesoef RM, O'Reilly KR. Sex partner recruitment as risk factor for STD: clustering of risky modes. *Sex Transm Dis* 1991; 18:10-7.
4. Cates W, Rolfs RT, Aral SO. Sexually transmitted diseases, pelvic inflammatory disease and infertility: an epidemiologic update. *Epidemiol Rev* 1990; 12: 199-220.

5. Akande V. Tubal pelvic damage: prediction and prognosis. *Hum Fertil* 2002; 5: S15-S20.
6. Rimdusit P. Tubal infertility. In: Rimdusit P, editor. Principle and progress in infertility management. Bangkok: Kaofang press, 1990; 75-128.
7. Westrom L. Incidence, prevalence and trends of acute pelvic inflammatory disease and its consequences in industrialized countries. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1980;138: 880-92.
8. Stamm WE. Chlamydia trachomatis infections of the adult. In: Holmes KK, Sparling PF, Mardh PE, Lenton SM, Stamm WE, Piot P, and Wassercheit JN, editors. Sexually Transmitted diseases. New York:McGraw-Hill,1999:407-32.
9. WHO task force on the prevention and management of infertility. Tubal infertility: serologic relationship to past chlamydial and gonococcal infection. *Sex Transm Dis* 1995; 22: 71-7.
10. ESHRE Capri Workshop. Infertility revisited: the state of ART today and tomorrow. *Hum Reprod* 1996; 11: 1779-1807.
11. Persson K, Osler S, Birkelund S, Christiansen G, Brade H. Antibodies to chlamydia trachomatis heat shock proteins in women with tubal factor infertility are associated with prior infection by *C. trachomatis* but not by *C. pneumoniae*. *Hum Reprod* 1999; 14(8): 1969-73.
12. Balen AH., Jacobs HS. Tubal infertility. In: Balen AH, Jacobs HS, editors. Infertility in practice. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1997: 195-211.

