# รายงานเบื้องต้นกรณีความชุกของภาวะดื้อยาโคลพิโดเกรล (Clopidogrel) ในผู้ป่วยโรคกล้ามเนื้อหัวใจขาดเลือดซ้ำจากการศึกษาในสถาบันเดียว

บูรพา ปุสธรรม, ทรงศักดิ์ เกียรติชูสกุล, ไชยสิทธิ์ วงศ์วิภาพร ศูนย์หัวใจสิริกิติ์ภาคตะวันออกเฉียงเหนือและโรงพยาบาลศรีนครินทร์ ภาควิชาอายุรศาสตร์ คณะแพทยศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยขอนแก่น

# The Prevalence of Clopidogrel Resistance in Reinfarction and Recurrent Myocardial Infarction; a Single-Center Preliminary Report

Burabha Pussadhamma, Songsak Kiatchoosakun, Chaiyasith Wongvipaporn Queen Sirikit Heart Center of the northeast and Srinagarind hospital, Department of medicine, Faculty of medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

หลักการและวัตถุประสงค์: ความผิดปกติทางพันธุกรรมที่ ทำให้เกิดภาวะดื้อยาโคลพิโดเกรลพบได้บ่อยในประชากร ภาคตะวันออกเฉียงเหนือของประเทศไทย แต่ยังไม่เคยมีการ ศึกษาถึงผลกระทบของปัญหาดังกล่าวทางคลินิก

<u>วิธีการศึกษา</u>: ทำการศึกษาแบบพรรณนาในผู้ป่วยโรค กล้ามเนื้อหัวใจขาดเลือดซ้ำจำนวน 56 ราย โดยตรวจหา ภาวะดื้อยาโคลพิโดเกรลโดยการตรวจ VerifyNow<sup>©</sup>P2Y12 (Accumetrics, San Diego, CA, USA) ซึ่งใช้ค่า P2Y12 reactivity unit (PRU) ≥ 240 เป็นเกณฑ์วินิจฉัย

ผลการศึกษา: ผู้ป่วยทั้งหมดมีอายุเฉลี่ย 64 ปี เป็นเพศ ชายร้อยละ 64 ค่า PRU เฉลี่ย 227 และพบความชุกของภาวะ ดื้อยาโคลพิโดเกรลร้อยละ 50 แบ่งเป็นผู้ป่วย reinfarction จำนวน 24 รายและผู้ป่วย recurrent myocardial infarction (MI) จำนวน 32 ราย โดยร้อยละ 62.5 ของผู้ป่วย reinfarction และร้อยละ 40.6 ของผู้ป่วย recurrent MI มีภาวะดื้อยา โคลพิโดเกรล (p = 0.25) และพบ stent thrombosis (ST) หรือ in-stent restenosis (ISR) จำนวน 35 รายและไม่พบ ST หรือ ISR จำนวน 21 รายโดยร้อยละ 57 และร้อยละ 38 ของผู้ป่วยที่พบและไม่พบภาวะดังกล่าวตามลำดับมีภาวะดื้อยา โคลพิโดเกรล (p = 0.36)

สรุป: ภาวะดื้อยาโคลพิโดเกรลพบได้บ่อยในผู้ป่วยโรคกล้าม เนื้อหัวใจขาดเลือดซ้ำในภาคตะวันออกเฉียงเหนือของประเทศไทย การตรวจหาภาวะดังกล่าวจึงอาจจำเป็นเพื่อการปรับยาต้านเกร็ด เลือดที่เหมาะสม

**คำสำคัญ:** ภาวะดื้อยาโคลพิโดเกรล กล้ามเนื้อหัวใจขาด เลือดซ้ำ VerifyNow P2Y12 assay **Background and objective:** Genetic polymorphisms leading to clopidogrel resistance was highly prevalent among the Northeastern population of Thailand; however, the magnitude of problem had never been evaluated clinically.

<u>Methods</u>: A preliminary analysis of a descriptive study was done among 56 patients who presented by reinfarction or recurrent myocardial infarction (MI) during clopidogrel therapy. Baseline clinical, laboratories, and procedural data were collected. Clopidogrel activity was assessed by a VerifyNow® P2Y12 assay (Accumetrics, San Diego, CA, USA) with a cut-off value of  $\geq$  240 P2Y12 reactivity unit (PRU) used as a criteria for clopidogrel resistance.

Results: Across overall patients, mean age was 64 years, 64% of patients were males, mean PRU was 227, and the prevalence of clopidogrel resistance was 50%. Twenty-four patients presented by reinfarction with mean PRU of 232, and 32 patients presented by recurrent MI with mean PRU of 224, and 62.5% of the former and 40.6% of the latter group had clopidogrel resistance (p = 0.25). Thirty-five patients had stent thrombosis (ST) or in-stent restenosis (ISR) with mean PRU of 231, and 21 patients had neither ST nor ISR with mean PRU of 221, and 57% of the former and 38% of the later group had clopidogrel resistance (p = 0.36).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Dr. Burabha Pussadhamma, Division of cardiology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand, 40002 Email: pussadhamma@gmail.com

<u>Conclusion</u>: Clopidogrel resistance is highly prevalent among patients with reinfarction and recurrent MI in the Northeastern of Thailand. Platelet function testing should be performed in such patients to further guide the P2Y12 inhibitor regimen.

**Key words:** Clopidogrel resistance, VerifyNow P2Y12 assay, reinfarction, recurrent myocardial infarction

ศรีนครินทร์เวชสาร 2557; 29 (4): 357-364. 
Srinagarind Med J 2014; 29 (4): 357-364.

### Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are the currently leading cause of death in the world. In Thailand, about 30% of death resulted from CVD and diabetes, and from the estimation in 2005, 7.3% of male, and 8.6% of female died from ischemic heart disease (IHD). Acute coronary syndrome (ACS) comprises of unstable angina (UA), non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) is one of IHD spectrum, and also lead to high rate of hospital admission and mortality among Thai patients in the recent years.

Treatment of ACS requires prompt coronary revascularization and optimization of antithrombotic therapies. <sup>5-8</sup> Combination of aspirin (ASA) and P2Y12-inhibitor orally is the main recommended regimen of antithrombotic therapies both in the acute and maintenance phase of ACS treatment. Currently, there are three oral P2Y12-inhibitors available worldwide, *i.e.*, clopidogrel, prasugrel, and ticagrelor. Among those, clopidogrel is the most widely used agent in Thailand due to health care coverage scheme's regulation and its most affordability and availability.

Clopidogrel resistance or hyporesponsiveness (the persistent activity of clopidogrel target despite an adequate antiplatelet regimen),<sup>9</sup> resulting primarily from genetic polymorphisms leading to heterogeneity of its pharmacokinetics<sup>10</sup>, however, is an issue of concern. It was shown from many studies that patients with clopidogrel resistance had increased occurrence of short-and long-term ischemic events.<sup>11,12</sup>

Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2C19, including CYP2C19\*2, \*3, and \*4, reduced function of CYP2C19

enzyme resulting to lower level of clopidogrel active metabolite and clopidogrel resistance. Unfortunately, the Asian population had a high prevalence of genetic polymorphisms. There was a report showing that 52.3% of healthy Northeastern populations of Thailand had CYP2C19\*2 or \*3 allele. We therefore evaluated the prevalence and characters of clopidogrel resistance in reinfarction and recurrent myocardial infarction (MI) among patients with high prevalence of genetic polymorphisms.

### Materials and methods

#### Study design and patients

A single center, descriptive study was performed at Queen Sirikit Heart Center of the Northeast and Srinagarind hospital, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand, since December, 2012 and still ongoing. We planned to enroll 200 patients, or until target of 100 patients with clopidogrel resistance was met. Male or female consecutive patients ≥ 30 years of age, with history of ACS underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), or coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery, or medical therapy alone whom clopidogrel was taken during the occurrence of reinfarction or recurrent MI were included. The patients who omitted any dose of clopidogrel within 1 week prior to occurrence of reinfarction or recurrent MI and who denied to participate were excluded. All patients were provided written informed consent. The study had already approved by the Khon Kaen University Ethics Committee in human research.

#### **Procedures**

All eligible patients who was admitted due to

reinfarction or recurrent MI would underwent clopidogrel activity assessment using the VerifyNow® P2Y12 assay (Accumetrics, San Diego, CA, USA). Clopidogrel had to be taken for at least 7 days in all patients before the event and might be additional loaded with a dose of 300 or 600 mg before the coronary angiogram. A washout period of 24 hours and 10 days was required before VerifyNow<sup>©</sup> P2Y12 testing if eptifibatide or tirofiban, and abciximab were used during the PCI, respectively. Research coordinator performed the VerifyNow® P2Y12 testing and immediately informed the result to the investigators. All baseline characteristics and clinical data were reviewed and collected by researcher (BP). Additional investigation for genetic polymorphisms was also performed in all patients, however, the results will not be reported in this preliminary analysis.

#### **Definitions**

MI was defined as the definition from the universal definition of myocardial infarction, 20 and by using the high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T assay (hs-cTnT) as a primary biomarker for myocardial injury. Reinfarction was defined as MI that occurs within 28 days of an incident or a recurrent MI, recurrent MI as MI occurs after 28 days of an incident event, while incident MI as the person's first MI ever.21 Clopidogrel resistance was originally defined by the VerifyNow<sup>©</sup> P2Y12 assay p ≥ 240 P2Y12 reactivity unit (PRU).22 Which there were evidences showed the relationship between VerifyNow® P2Y12 assay-diagnosed clopidogrel resistance and adverse clinical outcomes after PCI. 23,24 We also used the newer cut-off criteria of clopidogrel resistance, using VerifyNow® P2Y12 assay > 208 PRU to analyze the magnitude of its effect.<sup>12</sup> Definite stent thrombosis (ST) was defined as angiographic findings according to the Academic Research Consortium (ARD) definition.<sup>25</sup> And in-stent restenosis (ISR) was angiographically defined as the presence of > 50% diameter stenosis in the stented segment.26

# Statistical analysis

As the aim of prevalence determination, we used a formula of estimating a population proportion with specified absolute precision (n =  $[Z_{1.\alpha/2}^2 P(1-P)]/d^2$ ), in which confidence level (1- $\alpha$ ) = 95%, anticipated population

proportion  $p = 0.44^{17}$ , and absolute precision required (d) = 0.1, then the total calculated study population was 95 patients.

The continuous variables were presented by mean, range, and standard deviation (SD). The Shapiro-Wilk test of normality was used to assess the data distribution, in which variables with normal distribution were compared by Student's t test, while variables with abnormal distribution were compared by Wilcoxon test. Categorical variables were presented by number and percentage. The comparison was performed by Chi-square test with continuity correction if the expected cell count was less than 5 in less than 20% of cases, and otherwise was compared by Fisher's Exact test if the expected cell count was less than 5 in more than 20% of cases. A difference with p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analysis was run by SPSS version 19.0.

# Results

Fifty six patients were enrolled into the preliminary analysis. Across overall populations, the mean age was 64 years, 64.3% of patients were male, 89.3% of patients underwent PCI with stenting, 3.6% of patients underwent CABG, and 7.1% of patients stayed only on medical therapy without revascularization. According to the cardiovascular risk factors; diabetes, hypertension, CVA (cerebrovascular accident, and current smoking were presented in 58.9%, 55.4%, 5.4%, and 1.8% of patients, respectively. Heart failure was presented in 13 (23.2%) patients, and the mean left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was 47%. At initial physical examination, the mean heart rate was 81 beat per minute and mean systolic/diastolic blood pressure was 130/76 mmHg. The mean fasting blood sugar (FBS) and Hemoglobin A1C (HbA1C) was 175 mg/dl and 7.1, respectively. The mean estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was 70 ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>. The mean low-density lipoprotein (LDL) was 100 mg/dl, however, there was a statistical significant difference of mean LDL between patients with reinfarction and recurrent MI. The mean LDL was 113 mg/dl in the former and 89 mg/dl in the later (p = 0.008).

The mean PRU was 227, 232, and 224 among overall populations, patients with reinfarction, and patients with recurrent MI, respectively. The most form of clopidogrel used was Apolets (54.7%), while the original Plavix was used in 26.4%, and non-original Clopidogrel GPO was used in 17.0% of patients. 76.8% of index event diagnosis across overall populations was NSTEMI, however, 58.3% of patients with reinfarction but 90.6% of patients recurrent MI presented by NSTEMI, and this difference was statistically significant (p = 0.01). STEMI was more frequent among patients with reinfarction (37.5%) comparing with patients with recurrent MI (9.4%), however, without statistical significant (p = 0.36). Definite ST was more prevalent among patients with reinfarction (73.9%) than in patients with recurrent MI (16.1%), this differ was statistically significant (p = 0.02).

According to the concomitant medications; aspirin, beta-blocker, statins, and nitrate were prescribed in most of the patients, of 96.4%, 80.4%, 89.3%, and 66.1%, respectively. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) was prescribe in almost half of the patients (41.1%), while diuretics and calcium channel blocker (CCB) were used in less proportion (21.4% and 8.9%, consecutively). The proton pump inhibitor (PPI) was used in over half of patients (56.4%), which the most-used agent was omeprazole (90.6%). The baseline characteristics and investigational details were presented as Table 1.

The prevalence of clopidogrel resistance among overall patients, as the classic criteria of PRU ≥ 240, was 50% (95% confidence interval (CI) 36.50-63.50). Nevertheless, if the newer criterion of PRU > 208 was applied, then the prevalence of clopidogrel resistance was increased to 62.5%. Prevalence of clopidogrel resistance among patients with reinfarction and recurrent MI was 62.5% (95% CI 40.76-80.45) and 40.6% (95% Cl 24.20-59.19), respectively, (p = 0.25). In the stratification according to the presence of ST or ISR, the mean PRU among patients with ST/ISR and without ST/ ISR were 231 and 221, respectively, p = 0.1. There was a higher proportion of clopidogrel resistance among patients with ST/ISR comparing with patients without ST/ ISR, however, without statistical significant, 57.1% vs 38.1% (p = 0.36) as PRU  $\geq$  240, and 71.4% vs 47.6% (p

= 0.20) as PRU > 208. (Table 2)

#### Discussion

This single-center study, conducted at a high prevalence area of CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms, evaluated the magnitude of clopidogrel resistance in regional clinical practice and its effect to clinical outcomes. A preliminary analysis of 56 patients who presented with reinfarction or recurrent MI while clopidogrel was taken, whether in original or non-original form, disclosed a high prevalence of clopidogrel resistance (50%) judging by the VerifyNow® P2Y12 assay cut point  $\geq$  240 PRU. Our finding gave the same conclusion along with other studies from many regions of the world $^{23,24,\ 27,28}$  and also strongly confirms the importance of clopidogrel resistance to clinical adverse outcomes among a selected population of Thailand.

Regarding the stratification with ST/ISR, the prevalence of clopidogrel resistance among patients with ST/ISR was quite high at 57.1%, while it was lower among patients without ST/ISR at 38.1%. Although it was a non-statistical significant difference (p = 0.36), however, this trend should be viewed cautiously as clopidogrel resistance was not only a risk for reinfaction or recurrent MI, but also a specific risk for ST or ISR like it had been shown from many case reports and clinical trial. <sup>12, 29-31</sup>

The international standard practice guideline recommends against routine clinical use of platelet function testing to screen patients treated with clopidogrel who are undergoing PCI (recommendation class III).32 However, in the special circumstances with high prevalence of genetics polymorphisms and high prevalence of clopidogrel resistance among patients with reinfarction or recurrent MI, especially in patients with ST or ISR, as in our area of coverage, this should be viewed in a different way. The routine platelet function testing for clopidogrel after PCI might be warranted and changing to a more potent agent; such as ticagrelor or prazugrel might be needed, even though there is currently no definite evidence confirms the clinical benefits of this treatment strategy and the practice guideline permits just only a class IIb recommendation due to its lack of evidence.32

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and investigational details\*

Characteristic	Overall patients	Reinfarction	Recurrent MI	m verler
	(N = 56)	(N =24)	(N =32 )	p- value
Age – year	64 ± 11	63 ± 11	65 ± 10	0.48
Male sex – no. (%)	36 (64.3)	19 (79.2)	17 (53.1)	80.0
CV risk – no. (%)				
Diabetes	33 (58.9)	12 (50.0)	21 (65.6)	0.37
Hypertension	31 (55.4)	13 (54.2)	18 (56.3)	0.10
CVA	3 (5.4)	2 (8.3)	1 (3.1)	0.57
Current smoker	1 (1.8)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.1)	1.0
Previous treatment –no. (%)				
PCI	50 (89.3)	23 (95.8)	27 (84.4)	0.22
CABG	2 (3.6)	0	2 (6.3)	0.50
Medication only	4 (7.1)	1 (4.2)	3 (9.3)	-
Heart failure – no. (%)	13 (23.2)	4 (16.7)	9 (28.1)	0.49
BMI – kg/m²	$24 \pm 4$	$24\pm 5$	$25\pm3$	0.25
Heart rate – bpm	81 ± 17	$82\pm18$	$81\pm16$	0.81
SBP/DBP – mmHg	$130/76 \pm 26/16$	$125/75 \pm 22/13$	$134/78 \pm 28/18$	0.25/0.97
VEF - %	$47 \pm 16$	$48\pm17$	$46\pm15$	0.64
asting plasma glucose –mg/dl	$175 \pm 85$	$177 \pm 91$	$174\pm80$	0.78
Hemoglobin A1C	$7.1 \pm 1.8$	$6.9\pm1.9$	$7.3 \pm 1.8$	0.48
.ow-density lipoprotein –mg/dl	$100 \pm 33$	$113\pm37$	$89\pm25$	0.008
eGFR** – ml/min/1.73m²	$70 \pm 37$	$75\pm39$	$66 \pm 35$	0.39
/erifyNow P2Y12 assay – PRU	$227 \pm 69$	$232\pm61$	$224\pm76$	0.69
Nith > 240 PRU - no. (%, 95% CI)	28 (50, 36.50-63.50)	15 (62.5, 40.76-80.45)	13 (40.6, 24.20-59.19)	0.25
Type of clopidogrel*** – no. (%)				
Plavix <sup>®</sup>	14 (26.4)	9 (39.1)	5 (16.7)	0.38
Apolets <sup>®</sup>	29 (54.7)	13 (56.5)	16 (53.3)	0.86
Clopidogrel-GPO <sup>®</sup>	9 (17.0)	1 (4.3)	8 (26.7)	-
Time to index event –days	$173\pm352$	$8\pm6$	$297 \pm 428$	0.005
ndex event diagnosis – no. (%)				
NSTEMI	43 (76.8)	14 (58.3)	29 (90.6)	0.01
STEMI	12 (21.4)	9 (37.5)	3 (9.4)	0.36
Angiographic findings of index event –no.	(%) (N = )			
Definite stent thrombosis	22 (40.7)	17 (73.9)	5 (16.1)	0.02
In-stent restenosis	13 (24.1)	1 (4.3)	12 (38.7)	-
Others	21 (37.5)	6 (25.0)	12 (38.7)	0.50
Previous medications – no. (%)				
Aspirin	54 (96.4)	24 (100.0)	30 (93.8)	0.50
Beta-blocker	45 (80.4)	16 (66.7)	29 (90.6)	0.04
ACEI/ARB	23 (41.1)	6 (25.0)	17 (53.1)	0.06
Statins	50 (89.3)	2 (8.3)	28 (87.5)	0.69
CCB	5 (8.9)	3 (12.5)	2 (6.3)	0.08
Nitrates	37 (66.1)	13 (54.2)	24 (75.0)	0.12
Diuretics	12 (21.4)	2 (8.3)	10 (31.3)	0.51
PPI use – no. (%)	32 (56.4)	14 (58.3)	17 (54.8)	0.79
Omeprazole	29 (90.6)	13 (86.7)	16 (94.1)	0.49
Lanzoprazole	1 (3.1)	1 (6.7)	0 (0.0)	-
Esomeprazole	2 (6.3)	1 (6.7)	1 (5.9)	-

ACEI denotes Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB angiotensin receptor blocker, BMI body mass index, CABG coronary artery bypass graft, CCB calcium channel blocker, CI confidence interval, CV cardiovascular disease, DBP diastolic blood pressure, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, LDL low-density lipoprotein, LVEF left ventricular systolic function, MI myocardial infarction, NSTEMI non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, PCI percutaneous coronary intervention, PPI

proton pump inhibitor, PRU P2Y12 reactivity unit, SBP systolic blood pressure, STEMI ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. \*Plus-minus values are mean  $\pm$  SD.

Table 2 Relationship between platelet reactivity and stent thrombosis/in-stent restenosis\*

	Either ST or ISR (N=35)	Neither ST nor ISR (N=21)	p-value
VerifyNow P2Y12 testing – PRU ± Range	231 ± 71 8-368	221 ± 68 126-357	0.61
With > 240 PRU - no. (%)	20 (57.1)	8 (38.1)	0.36
With > 208 PRU** - no. (%)	25 (71.4)	10 (47.6)	0.20

ISR denotes in-stent restenosis, PRU P2Y12 reactivity unit, ST stent thrombosis.

There were many of known causes of clopidogrel resistance; such as genetic polymorphisms, non-compliance, concomitant medication, comorbidity, and smoking.33 The genetic polymorphisms, anyhow, are still currently unable to analyze. Non-compliance should not be mentioned as a cause of clopidogrel resistance among our study populations due to all eligible patients had to take clopidogrel in everyday within 1 week before an index event. Concomitant medication, especially PPI, had been previously viewed as a potent cause of clopidogrel resistance. There were evidences demonstrated that concurrent treatment with PPI, especially omeprazole, could reduced clopidogrel activity and increased platelet activity.34,35 Our study, however, had a small number of population, hence the correlation between each risk factor and clopidogrel resistance was unable to demonstrate due to the high chance of unreliability.

Although there were 3 types of clopidogrel using in real clinical practice, *i.e.*, plavix, apolets, and clopidogrel-GPO, and the pharmacologic efficacy of non-original clopidogrel (apolets and clopidogrel-GPO) might be doubted. However, two clinical studies conducted in Thai patients showed the equality of efficacy between each type of clopidogrel,<sup>36,37</sup> therefore, the non-originality of clopidogrel should not be viewed as a potential cause

of its resistance.

There are limitations to our study. First, since it was a preliminary analysis, hence it was inevitably underpowered due to its small population compare to the total planned-number; however, due to the low incidence of reinfarction and recurrent MI, then the recruitment was slow and the preliminary analysis might be necessary and give some insight into a full study. Second, a nature of single-center study could lead to bias in clinical practice or management strategy; however, as the tertiary cardiac center in the region, we kept practice according to the recommendation from international practice guideline tightly, or as much as possible. Another limitation was lack of genetic polymorphisms data, in which interpretation of both platelet function testing and genetic polymorphisms should give some interesting viewpoints.

Nevertheless, this is the first study ever demonstrated the high prevalence of clopidogrel resistance in real clinical practice among the Northeastern populations of Thailand. Further analysis of genetic polymorphisms is awaiting, and further study of treatment strategy with routine platelet function testing for patients born from region with high prevalence of genetics polymorphisms treated with clopidogrel is suggested.

<sup>\*\*</sup>By Modified of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>There were 3 types of clopidogrel available in Thailand and its use primarily depended on the health care coverage of each individual patient. Plavix<sup>®</sup> is original clopidogrel and was able to use for patients who covered by Civil Servant Medical Benefit Scheme (CSMBS) or paid by themselves, Apolets<sup>®</sup> and Clopidogrel-GPO<sup>®</sup> are non-original clopidogrel and were able to use for patients who covered by Social Security Scheme (SSS) or Universal Coverage Scheme (UCS).

<sup>\*</sup>Plus-minus values are mean  $\pm$  SD.

<sup>\*\*</sup>A newer and lower cut-off value of clopidogrel resistance was analyzed additionally

#### Conclusion

Clopidogrel resistance is highly prevalent among reinfarction and recurrent MI patients in the Norhteastern of Thailand. The platelet function testing might be performed in patients whom reinfarction or recurrent MI developed while clopidogrel was taken to guide the adjustment of P2Y12 inhibitor. Further study for routine platelet function testing in patients of the Northeastern of Thailand who had undergone PCI is suggested.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Miss Monthanan Buttacha for assistance with data collection and Mrs Aroonsri Sanmuang for assistance with statistical analysis.

#### **Funding**

This research received no grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

### **Disclosures**

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

- Alwan A, Maclean DR, Riley LM, d'Espaignet ET, Mathers CD, Stevens GA, et al. Monitoring and surveillance of chronic non-communicable diseases: progress and capacity in highburden countries. Lancet 2010; 376: 1861–8.
- Porapakkham Y, Rao C, Pattaraarchachai J, Polprasert W, Vos T, Adair T, et al. Estimated causes of death in Thailand, 2005: implications for health policy. Population Health Metrics 2010; 9: 191–8.
- Kumar A, Cannon CP. Acute coronary syndromes: diagnosis and management, Part I. Mayo Clin Proc 2009; 84: 917–38.
- Kiatchoosakun S, Sutra S, Thepsuthammarat K. Coronary artery disease in the Thai population: data from health situation analysis 2010. J Med Assoc Thai 2012; 95 Suppl 7: S149-55.
- O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE Jr, Chung MK, de Lemos JA, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013; 61: e78–e140.
- Jneid H, Anderson JL, Wright RS, Adams CD, Bridges CR, Casey DE Jr, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA focused update of the guideline for the management of patients with unstable angina/ non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (Updating the 2007 Guideline and Replacing the 2011 Focused Update). J Am

Coll Cardiol 2012; 60: 645-81.

- Steg PG, James SK, Atar D, Badano LP, Blbmstrom-Lundqvist C, Borger MA, et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with STsegment elevation The Task Force on the management of ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2012; 33: 2569-619.
- Hamm CW, Bassand JP, Agewall S, Bax J, Boersma E, Bueno H, et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: the task Force for the management of acute coronary syndromes (ACS) in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2011; 32: 2999– 3054.
- Gurbel PA, Tantry US. Clopidogrel resistance? Thromb Res 2007; 120: 311-21.
- Messmore HL Jr, Jeske WP, Wehrmacher W, Coyne E, Mobarhan S, Cho L, et al. Antiplatelet agents: current drugs and future trends. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 2005; 19: 87-117.
- Bonello L, Tantry US, Marcucci R, Working Group on High On-Treatment Platelet Reactivity et al. Consensus and future directions on the definition of high on-treatment platelet reactivity to adenosine diphosphate. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010; 56: 919-33.
- Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Weisz G, Rinaldi MJ, Neumann FJ, Metzger DC, et al. Platelet reactivity and clinical outcomes after coronary artery implantation of drug-eluting stents (ADAPT-DES): a prospective multicentre registry study. Lancet 2013; 382: 614-23.
- Shuldiner AR, O'Connell JR, Bliden KP, Gandhi A, Ryan K, Horenstein RB, et al. Association of cytochrome P450 2C19 genotype with the antiplatelet effect and clinical efficacy of clopidogrel therapy. JAMA 2009; 302: 849-57.
- Jinnai T, Horiuchi H, Makiyama T, Tazaki J, Tada T, Akao M, et al. Impact of CYP2C19 polymorphisms on the antipletelet effect of clopidogrel in an actual clinical setting in Japan. Cir J 2009; 73: 1498-503.
- Lee JM, Park S, Shin D-J, Choi D, Shim CY, Ko YG, et al. Relation of genetic polymorphisms in the cytochrome P450 gene with clopidogrel resistance after drug-eluting stent implantation in Koreans. Am J Cardiol 2009; 104: 46-51.
- Gladding P, Webster M, Zeng I, Farrell H, Stewart J, Ruygrok P, et al. The pharmacogenetics and pharmacodynamics of clopidogrel response: an analysis from the PRINC (Plavix Response in Coronary Intervention) trial. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2008; 1: 620-27.

- Nakkam N, Kanjanawart S, Tiamkao S, Tassaneeyakul W. Pharmacology and Factors Affecting the Therapeutic Efficacy of Clopidogrel. Srinagarind Med J 2014; 29: 71-80.
- Hasan MS, Basri HB, Hin LP, Stanslas J. Genetic polymorphisms and drug interactions leading to clopidogrel resistance: why the Asian population require special attention. Int J Neurosci 2013; 123: 143-54.
- Tassaneeyakul W, Tawalee A, Tassaneeyakul W, Kukongviriyapan V, Blaisdell J, Goldstein JA, et al. Analysis of the CYP2C19 polymorphism in a North-eastern Thai population. Pharmacogenetics 2002; 12: 221-5.
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD; Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/ WHF Task Force for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction. Universal definition of myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J 2007; 28: 2525-38.
- 21. Mendis S, Thygesen K, Kuulasmaa K, Giampaoli S, Mlhben M, Ngu Blackett K, et al; Writing group on behalf of the participating experts of the WHO consultation for revision of WHO definition of myocardial infarction. World Health Organization definition of myocardial infarction: 2008-09 revision. Int J Epidemiol 2011; 40: 139-46.
- 22. Vlachojannis GJ, Dimitropoulos G, Alexopoulos D. Clopidogrel resistance: current aspects and future directions. Hellenic J Cardiol 2011; 52: 236-45.
- 23. Marcucci R, Gori AM, Paniccia R, Giusti B, Valente S, Giglioli C, et al. Cardiovascular death and nonfatal myocardial infarction in acute coronary syndrome patients receiving coronary stenting are predicted by residual platelet reactivity to ADP detected by a point-of-care assay: a 12-month follow-up. Circulation 2009; 119: 237-42.
- Jeong YH, Kim IS, Choi BR, Kwak CH, Hwang JY. The optimal threshold of high post-treatment platelet reactivity could be defined by a point-of-care VerifyNow P2Y12 assay. Eur Heart J 2008; 29: 2186-7.
- 25. Cultip DE, Windecker S, Mehran R, Boam A, Cohen DJ, van Es GA, et al. Clinical end points in coronary stents-a case for standard definitions. Circulation 2007; 115: 2344-51.
- 26. Teirstein P S, Massullo V, Jani S, Popma JJ, Mintz GS, Russo RJ, et al. Catheterbased radiotherapy to inhibit restenosis after coronary stenting. N Engl J Med 1997; 336: 1697-703.
- 27. Patti G, Nusca A, Mangiacapra F, Gatto L, D'Ambrosio A, Di Sciascio G. Point-of-care measurement of clopidogrel responsiveness predicts clinical outcome in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention results of the ARMYDA-PRO (Antiplatelet therapy for Reduction of Myocardial Damage during Angioplasty-Platelet Reactivity Predicts Outcome) study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008; 52: 1128-33.

- Price MJ, Endemann S, Gollapudi RR, Valencia R, Stinis CT, Levisay JP, et al. Prognostic significance of post-clopidogrel platelet reactivity assessed by a point-of-care assay on thrombotic events after drug-eluting stent implantation. Eur Heart J 2008; 29: 992-1000.
- Flaherty MP, Johnston PV, Rade JJ. Subacute stent thrombosis owing to complete clopidogrel resistance successfully managed with prasugrel. J Invasive Cardiol 2011; 23: 300-4.
- 30. Pena A, Collet JP, Hulot JS, Silvain J, Barth yo, Beygui F, et al. Can we override clopidogrel resistance? Circulation 2009; 119: 2854-7.
- Motovska Z, Widimsky P, Marinov I, Petr R, Hajkova J, Kvasnicka J; PRAGUE-8 study Investigators. Clopidogrel resistance "Live" - the risk of stent thrombosis should be evaluated before procedures. Thromb J 2009;7:6.
- 32. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Bailey SR, Bittl JA, Cercek B, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. Circulation 2011; 124: e574-651.
- 33. Sharma RK, Reddy HK, Singh VN, Sharma R, Voelker DJ, Bhatt G. Aspirin and clopidogrel hyporesponsiveness and nonresponsiveness in patients with coronary artery stenting. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2009; 5: 965-72.
- Price MJ, Nayak KR, Barker CM, Kandzari DE, Teirstein PS. Predictors of heightened platelet reactivity despite dual antiplatelet therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Am J Cardiol 2009; 103: 1339-343.
- 35. Gilard M, Arnaud B, Cornily JC, Le Gal G, Lacut K, Le Calvez G, et al. Influence of omeprazole on the antiplatelet action of clopidogrel associated with aspirin: the randomized, double-blind OCLA (Omeprazole CLopidogrel Aspirin) study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008; 51: 256-60.
- Srimahachota S, Rojnuckarin P, Udayachalerm W, Buddhari W, Chaipromprasit J, Lertsuwunseri V, et al. Comparison of original and generic clopidogrel 600 mg loading dose in the patients who planned undergoing coronary angiography. J Med Assc Thai 2012; 95: 1495-1500.
- Ungprasert J, Wongvipaporn C, Ariyapim N. efficacy of clopidogrel on platelet function test in cardiovascular disease patients at Khon Kaen University. Thai Heart J 2011; 24: 54-61.

364