

## Principal Components Regression of Body Measurements in Five Strains of Locally Adapted Chickens in Nigeria

A.S. Adenaike\*, U. Akpan and C.O.N. Ikeobi

*Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, College of Animal Science and Livestock Production, Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria*

*\*Corresponding author, Email: adenaike20094help@yahoo.com*

### Abstract

This study aimed at unfolding the interdependence among the linear body measurements in chickens and to predict body weight from their orthogonal body measurements using principal component regression. Body weight and seven biometric traits that are; body length (BL), breast girth (BG), wing length (WL), wing span (WS), thigh length (TL), shank length (SL), keel length (KL) were measured on eight week old chickens comprising 53 each of Marshal (M), Marshal x naked-neck (MNk), Marshal x normal-feathered (MNM), Naked-neck (Nk) and Normal-feathered (Nm). General linear model, factor and partial least squares procedures of statistical analysis system (S.A.S 9.1) were used to compute the variations among the five genotypes. Pearson correlations between body weight and biometric traits were positive and highly related ( $r = 0.614-0.937, 0.518-0.929$  and  $0.496-0.943, 0.411-0.959$  and  $0.760-0.961$  in M, MNk, MNM, Nk and Nm genotypes respectively). In all the five genotypes studied, only the first principal component (PC) exhibited eigenvalues greater than 1. Using Bartlett test, observed communalities ranges from 0.787 to 0.946 in M, 0.784 to 0.957 in MNk, 0.685 to 0.928 in MNM, 0.818 to 0.959 in Nk and 0.930 to 0.998 in Nm. This offered credibility to the relevance of the principal component regression. In principal component regression models, TL alone accounted for 76.21%, 62.72%, and 75.52% of the variation in BW for M, MNM and Nk respectively. The best prediction equation ( $R^2=85.61\%$ ,  $R^2=85.52\%$ ) for BW was obtained when BG was included in the model for M and Nk respectively. BG alone accounted for 91.66% and 69.05% of the variation in BW for Nm and MNk respectively. Also, In MNM chickens, the best prediction equation ( $R^2=70.88\%$ ) for BW was obtained when KL was included in the model. It can be concluded that the use of principal components' scores from chickens' morphometric traits was more appropriate than the use of original traits in body weight prediction as multicollinearity problems were eliminated.

**Keywords:** body weight, biometric traits, orthogonal and eigenvalues

### Introduction

Nigeria is endowed with a number of locally adapted chickens that are important in meat and egg production. The Nigerian indigenous chickens represent a large group of unexploited genetic resource. Despite increase in the growth of the poultry industry in Nigeria utilizing exotic chicken in production, the indigenous chicken genotypes still remain the largest source of poultry meat and eggs. Although they are less productive when

compared to the exotic counterpart, indigenous chickens play a vital role in the socio-economic life of those keeping them (Alabi et al., 2012). Indigenous chickens represent a highly conserved genetic reservoir, with high level of heterozygosity, which may provide the biological material for the development of genetic stocks with improved adaptability and productivity (Ajayi et al., 2012). Also, they have potential to serve as broiler meat if they are improved on. Meanwhile, Marshal genotype is among the strains of broiler chickens

reared by farmers in Southern Nigeria. They cope fairly well with the hot season of January to March in Nigeria and reach market weight at about 8 weeks of age (Udeh and Ogbu, 2011). Poultry consumers buy live chicken especially during festive period using visual appraisal to choose heavy chicken. This visual appraisal is an inaccurate judgment. However, understanding the interrelationships between body weight and body measurements in these chickens and their crossbreds will help the poultry consumers and farmers to predict their body weight at various ages especially in the rural areas when scales are not available.

The accuracy of models used to predict body weights from linear body measurements has a colossal financial input to livestock production enterprises. Ability of livestock producers and buyers to relate linear body measurements to body weight will result in an optimum production and value-based trading system as a result of accurate predictions. This will ensure that livestock farmers are adequately rewarded rather than the middlemen and/or livestock product processors who tend to gain more profit in livestock production enterprises, especially in the rural areas of developing countries (Afolayan et al., 2006) such as Nigeria. Also, accuracy of models developed to predict body weights from linear body measurements could improve efficiency of selection for growth by enabling the breeder to recognise early maturing and late maturing animals of different sizes. Linear body measurements have been used to predict body weights by several authors in many chicken breeds (Mendes, 2009; Yakubu et al., 2009; Udeh and Ogbu, 2011; Ajayi et al., 2012).

The authors used different models (canonical discriminant, multiple regressions and principal components) to predict body weight in different breeds, sexes and environmental conditions. Both canonical discriminant and multiple regressions models are highly affected by high correlations (multicollinearity problem) between predictor variables. It is well known that in the presence of multicollinearity problem, the standard errors of the parameter estimates could be quite high, resulting in unstable estimates of the regression model.

Hence, the multicollinearity between predictor variables can lead to incorrect identification of the

most important predictors (Sharma 1996; Thompson et al., 2001 and Hoe and Kim, 2004). Sousa et al. (2007) and Mendes (2009) reported that one of the approaches to avoid multicollinearity problem is the principal component analysis. However, principal component analysis (PCA) might not be able to account for variation due to differences in breeds. Hence, categorization of data according to breed is necessary (if its effect is significant) to improve prediction power of the principal component analysis. Also, multivariate analyses involving the use of PCA has been reported for extensively-managed Nigerian indigenous chickens in the Northern part of Nigeria (Yakuku et al., 2009) while Ajayi et al. (2012) reported for intensively-managed Nigerian indigenous chickens and Anak Titan (an exotic chicken). However, Yakubu et al. (2009) did not account for spatially dependent correlation as a result of sampling of data in different locations and PCA carried out with spatially-dependent samples will most often result in identifying spatial correlations (Clemens et al., 2008).

Strong spatial correlations may completely mask linear body measurement correlations within the sample variables which PCA needed to account for. Also, Ajayi et al. (2012) used small sample size (30 naked-neck and 27 Anak Titan chickens). This might make the results to be biased. Guadagnoli and Velicer (1988) reviewed several studies that reached the conclusion that the minimum sample size should be 50. Gorsuch (1983) and Hatcher (1994) also supported ratio of the minimum value of sample size to variables as being of greater importance in PCA and recommended at least 5:1. Therefore, this study sought to increase sample size of all variables to minimum of 50 and use Principal components regression (PCR) to correct for multicollinearity among linear body measurements when fitting multiple regression models. The PCR approach involves constructing principal component (PC) and then using these components as the predictors in a linear regression model that is fit using least squares. The prime idea of PCR is to use scores rather than the original data for the regression step.

This has two advantages: scores are orthogonal, so there are no problems with correlated variables, and secondly, the number of PCs taken into account

usually is much lower than the number of original variables. This reduces the number of coefficients that must be estimated considerably, which in turn leads to more degrees of freedom for the estimation of errors.

### Materials and Methods

#### Study Location

The research was conducted at the Poultry Breeding Unit of the Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, (FUNAAB) located on latitude 7°10' N in Odeda Local Government Area, Ogun State, in South-Western Nigeria. The ambient temperature during the period ranged from 26.9°C in June to 27.1°C in December with average relative humidity of 80%, while the vegetative site represents an inter-phase between the tropical rainforest and the derived savannah (AGROMET, FUNAAB, 2014).

#### Experimental Animals and Their Management

A total of 265 chicks comprising 53 each of Normal-feathered, Marshal, Naked-neck Marshal x naked-neck and Marshal x normal-feathered crosses generated from Hatchery in Abeokuta were used for the study. The chicks were raised in deep litter pen for eight weeks. The birds were fed *ad libitum* on broiler starter diet from day-old to 4 weeks of age and a broiler finisher diet from 4 to 8 weeks of age. Clean drinking water was also made available to the birds all the time. All the necessary vaccines for broiler chicks were administered at the appropriate ages. The body weights of the birds were recorded on weekly basis to 8 weeks of age. The body measurements namely shank length, thigh length, drumstick length, body length, body width, breast width and wing length were measured at 8 weeks of age.

#### Traits Measured

Body weight (BW) (grammes) and seven linear body measurements were measured on each chicken. The body measurements (centimetres) were taken using a measuring tape except for body weight that was taken using a measuring scale in grammes. The parts measured were body length (BL), measured as the distance between the tip of the beak and the longest toe without the nail; wing length (WL), taken as the distance between the

tip of the phalanges and the coracoids-humerus joint; wing span (WS), measured as the distance between the left wing tip to the right wing tip across the back of the chicken; shank length (SL), taken as the distance from the hock joint to the tarsometatarsus; thigh length (TL) measured as the distance between the hock joint and the pelvic joint; breast girth (BG), measured as the circumference of the breast around the deepest region of the breast and keel length (KL), taken as the distance between the anterior and posterior ends of the keel.

#### Statistical Analysis

Means and standard errors values of body weight and body measurements of each genotype were obtained using the descriptive statistic of S.A.S (Version 9.1). Two-way analysis of variance was used to test the effects and sex of genotypes on the parameters. Pearson correlation coefficients among the body measurements were calculated for each genotypes and the correlation matrix which was the primary data required for PCA generated.

PCR is an appropriate multivariate technique to reduce the dimension of a data set consisting of a large number of interrelated variables, while retaining as much as possible the variation present in the data set (Sharma, 1996; Özkan and Mendes, 2004). This is achieved by transforming a set of original variables to a new set of variables, the principal components which are ordered so that the first few retain most of the variation present in all of the original variables (Jolliffe, 2002).

Principal component analysis is a method for transforming the variables in a multivariate data set  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$ , into new variables,  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p$  which are uncorrelated with each other and account for decreasing proportions of the total variance of the original variables defined as:

$$y_1 = a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 + \dots + a_{1p} x_p$$

$$y_2 = a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2 + \dots + a_{2p} x_p$$

$$y_p = a_{p1} x_1 + a_{p2} x_2 + \dots + a_{pp} x_p$$

The aim of the Varimax rotation is to maximize the sum of variances of  $a_{ij}^2$  quadratic weight.

The stepwise variable selection multiple regression procedure was used to obtain models for predicting body weight from body measurements (a) and from established principal components (b).

$$BW = a + B_i x_i + \dots + B_k x_k \dots \dots \dots (a)$$

$$BW = a + B_i PC_i + \dots + B_k PC_k \dots \dots \dots (b)$$

where; BW is the body weight, a is the regression intercept,  $B_i$  is the  $i$ -th partial regression coefficient of the  $i$ -th linear body measurement,  $X_i$  or the  $i$ -th principal component. Anti-image correlations and Barlett's Test of Sphericity were computed to test the validity of the factor analysis of the data sets. The appropriateness of the factor analysis was further tested using communalities and ratio of cases to variables. Components were extracted until some stopping criteria is encountered or until  $p$  components were formed. The weights used to create the principal components are the eigenvectors of the characteristics equation:

$$(R - \lambda_1 I) a = 0$$

Where  $R$  is the correlation matrix, the  $\lambda_1$  are the eigenvalues (the variances of the components). The eigenvalues were obtained by solving  $(R - \lambda_1 I)a = 0$  for  $\lambda_1$ . Cumulative proportion variance was employed in determining the number of principal components to extract. The overall reliability of the factor solution was tested using Chronbach's Alpha. The means, correlation, factor, PLS and regression procedures of S.A.S 9.1 statistical package were used for the principal component regression analysis.

### Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the means, standard errors and coefficients of variation of body weight and body measurements of three genotypes and their two crossbred of chickens at 8 weeks of age. Genotype had significant effect ( $P < 0.001$ ) on all the biometric traits with Marshal chickens having significantly highest means compared to the four other genotypes (Table 1). Marshal, Marshal x normal-

feathered and Marshal x naked-neck attained average body weight of 790.06, 626.34 and 611.03g respectively which were superior to normal-feathered (Nm) (472.24g) and naked-neck (494.62g) at 8 weeks of age. This observation attested to previous reports that Nigerian indigenous chickens were light breeds (Peters, 2000 and Adeleke et al., 2011), but suggests the suitability of Marshal chickens for crossbreeding programmes which when mated with indigenous genotypes will eventually improve the growth and carcass trait potentials of Nigerian indigenous chickens. The observable differences between body weight of naked-neck and normal-feathered chickens were similar to the findings of Patra et al. (2002) who reported that naked-neck chickens had heavier body weight compared to their Normal-feathered counterparts. However, the higher average body weight observed in naked-neck chickens compared to normal-feathered chickens was contrasted to that observed by Gunn (2008) and Ajayi et al. (2012) who reported better performance of normal-feathered chickens in comparison to naked-neck chickens.

Also, male birds had higher values for all linear body measurements compared to their female counterparts (Table 2). This result is in agreement with the findings of earlier researchers (Peters et al., 2006; and Ajayi et al., 2012). This observed dimorphism might be attributed to the differential sex hormonal effects on growth. As a result of differential sex hormonal effects, Male chickens tend to be aggressive and eat more feed with overall effect on growth. Also based on coefficient of variation, body weight and morphometric traits were variable with exception of males thigh length (9.50) and females thigh and wing length (9.26 and 9.98) respectively. This might be attributed to some degree of environmental effects and the condition of each animal (Yakubu and Ayoade, 2007).

Table 3 shows the coefficient of correlations of body weight and body measurements of the chicken genotypes. The correlation coefficients ranged from 0.614 to 0.937, 0.518 to 0.929 and 0.496 to 0.943, 0.411 to 0.959 and 0.760 to 0.961 in Marshal (M), Marshal x naked-neck (MNk), Marshal x normal-feathered (MNm), naked-neck (Nk) and normal-feathered (Nm) genotypes respectively.

**Table 1** Means, standard errors (SE) and coefficients of variation (CV) for body weight (kg) and body measurements (cm) of five Nigerian genotype chickens according to genotype.

	Marshal		Marshal x naked		Marshal Normal		Naked-neck		Normal	
	Mean $\pm$ SE	CV	Mean $\pm$ SE	CV	Mean $\pm$ SE	CV	Mean $\pm$ SE	CV	Mean $\pm$ SE	CV
Body weight	790.06 $\pm$ 22.67 <sup>a</sup>	19.59	611.03 $\pm$ 20.09 <sup>b</sup>	22.44	626.34 $\pm$ 18.96 <sup>b</sup>	23.84	494.62 $\pm$ 18.42 <sup>c</sup>	22.82	472.24 $\pm$ 21.78 <sup>c</sup>	32.49
Body length	14.53 $\pm$ 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	8.29	12.77 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>c</sup>	9.79	13.47 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>b</sup>	7.63	12.46 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>cd</sup>	10.55	12.17 $\pm$ 0.24 <sup>d</sup>	13.47
Breast girth	19.02 $\pm$ 0.22 <sup>a</sup>	8.06	16.83 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>b</sup>	10.83	17.16 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>b</sup>	8.08	15.07 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>c</sup>	8.38	15.07 $\pm$ 0.30 <sup>c</sup>	13.86
Width length	17.81 $\pm$ 0.22 <sup>a</sup>	8.58	16.20 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>b</sup>	11.19	16.68 $\pm$ 0.15 <sup>b</sup>	6.98	15.53 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>c</sup>	8.43	15.26 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>c</sup>	11.55
Wing span	38.82 $\pm$ 0.45 <sup>a</sup>	8.04	34.11 $\pm$ 0.58 <sup>c</sup>	11.66	36.49 $\pm$ 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	7.01	33.09 $\pm$ 0.45 <sup>cd</sup>	8.41	32.63 $\pm$ 0.74 <sup>d</sup>	15.47
Thigh length	15.09 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>a</sup>	7.59	13.88 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>b</sup>	8.91	13.86 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>b</sup>	7.85	13.41 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>bc</sup>	8.67	13.17 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>c</sup>	10.84
Shank length	11.83 $\pm$ 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	9.96	10.61 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>b</sup>	10.53	10.99 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	8.88	10.08 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>c</sup>	11.96	9.84 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>c</sup>	13.59
Keel length	7.99 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	9.35	6.90 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	9.05	7.27 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>c</sup>	8.19	6.44 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>d</sup>	10.02	6.19 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>d</sup>	15.37

**Table 2** Means, standard errors (SE) and coefficients of variation (CV) for body weight (kg) and body measurements (cm) of five Nigerian genotype chickens according to sex.

Traits	Male		Female	
	Mean $\pm$ SE	CV %	Mean $\pm$ SE	CV %
Body weight	643.82 $\pm$ 16.85 <sup>a</sup>	30.37	553.90 $\pm$ 15.03 <sup>b</sup>	27.47
Body length	13.39 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	12.32	12.76 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>b</sup>	10.34
Breast girth	17.09 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	13.13	16.17 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>b</sup>	12.15
Wing length	16.60 $\pm$ 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	11.07	15.99 $\pm$ 0.15 <sup>b</sup>	9.98
Wing span	35.96 $\pm$ 0.34 <sup>a</sup>	13.06	34.10 $\pm$ 0.40 <sup>b</sup>	10.20
Thigh length	14.29 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	9.50	13.47 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	9.26
Shank length	11.01 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	12.74	10.34 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	11.44
Keel length	7.15 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	14.12	6.76 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	12.29

Relationships between body weight and all the body measurements were positive and significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) in the five chicken genotypes. Highest positive correlations were recorded between wing span and wing length in M, MNm and Nk while shank length and thigh length had highest positive correlations in MNk and Nm genotypes. Shank length and body length had lowest positive relationship in M. Meanwhile, lowest positive correlations were recorded between body length and wing length (0.518) in MNk, body length and shank length (0.496) in MNm, shank length and thigh length (0.411) in Nk and wing span and body weight (0.760) in Nm. The lowest positive correlations recorded between body weight and other linear body measurements were observed between body weight and body length (0.567) in MNm. In all the five chicken genotypes, body weight had highly positive correlations with all the linear body measurements.

These values revealed the pattern of correlations among the traits and high positive correlations among most of the linear body measurements with body weight in all the genotypes may be useful as selection criterion in the absence of multicollinearity. Positive correlations of traits suggest that the traits are under the same gene action (pleiotropy) (Yakubu et al., 2009) and selection for a trait may lead to a correlated response in the other trait.

Although only the first principal component (PC) exhibited eigenvalues greater than 1, observed communalities, which represent the proportion of the variance in the original variables that is accounted for by the factor solution ranged from 0.787 to 0.946 in M, 0.784 to 0.957 in MNk, 0.685 to 0.928 in MNm, 0.818 to 0.959 in Nk and 0.930 to 0.998 in Nm (Table 4). This offered credibility to the relevance of the principal component regression. PC1 accounted for the largest variance (81.74%,

**Table 3** Pearson correlations among body weight and linear body measurements of five Nigerian genotype chickens weight and linear body traits of Marshal (first upper diagonal), Marshal x naked-neck (first-middle upper diagonal) Marshal x normal (second-middle upper diagonal), naked-neck (first lower diagonal) and normal-feathered chickens (second lower diagonal).

	BW	BL	BG	WL	WS	TL	SL	KL
BW	1	0.774***	0.869***	0.754***	0.795***	0.873***	0.769***	0.696***
BL	0.721***	1	0.787***	0.659***	0.686***	0.713***	0.614***	0.726***
BG	0.831***	0.706***	1	0.748***	0.786***	0.774***	0.757***	0.729***
WL	0.635***	0.518***	0.565***	1	0.937***	0.828***	0.925***	0.831***
WS	0.707***	0.679***	0.734***	0.645***	1	0.854***	0.942***	0.819***
TL	0.799***	0.837***	0.837***	0.582***	0.802***	1	0.856***	0.704***
SL	0.766***	0.829***	0.768***	0.562***	0.755***	0.929***	1	0.804***
KL	0.794***	0.745***	0.805***	0.623***	0.763***	0.854***	0.818***	1
BW	1	0.567***	0.718***	0.778***	0.771***	0.792***	0.724***	0.770***
BL	0.856***	1	0.547***	0.643***	0.615***	0.639***	0.496***	0.511***
BG	0.869***	0.741***	1	0.688***	0.708***	0.695***	0.537***	0.690***
WL	0.868***	0.825***	0.822***	1	0.943***	0.872***	0.848***	0.752***
WS	0.837***	0.775***	0.799***	0.959***	1	0.885***	0.851***	0.779***
TL	0.787***	0.716***	0.781***	0.813***	0.772***	1	0.828***	0.723***
SL	0.760***	0.767***	0.614***	0.733***	0.699***	0.411***	1	0.721***
KL	0.821***	0.781***	0.778***	0.796***	0.766***	0.681***	0.751***	1
BW	1	0.941***	0.957***	0.909***	0.760***	0.909***	0.946***	0.935***
BL		1	0.923***	0.919***	0.779***	0.917***	0.928***	0.932***
BG			1	0.923***	0.763***	0.920***	0.950***	0.929***
WL				1	0.816***	0.954***	0.949***	0.903***
WS					1	0.788***	0.767***	0.767***
TL						1	0.961***	0.915***
SL							1	0.942***
KL								1

BW body weight, BL body length, BG breast girth, WL wing length, WS wing span, TL thigh length, SL shank length, KL keel length \*\*\*P<0.001

77.44%, 76.02%, 78.95%, and 90.57%) for M, MNk, MNm, Nk and Nm respectively. This had been the usual trend in studies that involved PCA as stated by earlier researchers (Mendes, 2009; Yakubu et al., 2009; Udeh and Ogbu, 2011 and Ajayi et al., 2012).

The best loading of each trait is indicated by a bolded number for each PCs in each genotypes. PC1 had high positive loadings on all biometric traits ranged from 0.811 to 0.954 in M, 0.734 to 0.983 in MNk, 0.724 to 0.966 in MNm, 0.797 to 0.959 in Nk and 0.848 to 0.977 in Nm. This implies an increase in any of the traits will results to correlated increase in the other traits.

Based on PCA criteria, seven linear body measurements were reduced to three components. Combined PC1, PC2 and PC3 accounted for 93.82%, 90.43%, 91.33%, 92.13% and 96.90% of the total variance for M, MNk, MNm, Nk and Nm respectively. Higher combined PC1, PC2 and PC3 values were recorded for M and Nm in this study

compared to report Udeh and Ogbu, (2011) who reported 74.76% in M at 8 weeks old and Ajayi et al. (2012) who reported 89.78% in Nm. However, Ajayi et al. (2012) reported higher value (94.83%) for combined PC1, PC2 and PC3 in Nk compared to this study. The three principal components (PC1, PC2 and PC3) obtained for each genotype could be useful in assessing animals for breeding and selection purposes especially in the crossbred genotypes.

Table 5 illustrates the percent variation accounted for by PCs and cross validation for the number of extracted factors of the linear body measurements of chickens. Only one PC is retained according to the PRESS in MNk, MNm and Nk. The seven traits were collapsed into a single measure and the percent of the variance explained in the model was 77.44%, 76.02% and 78.95% in MNk, MNm and Nk respectively. Needless to say, this is adequate. If all seven PCs are treated as independent variables (no variable reduction is used),

**Table 4** Eigenvalues and share of total variance along with factor loadings after rotation and communalities of the linear body measurements of chickens.

Traits	PC1	PC2	PC3	Communality
<b>Marshal</b>				
BL	0.811	<b>0.535</b>	0.042	0.946
BG	0.880	0.280	-0.152	0.853
WL	0.942	-0.231	0.062	0.939
WS	<b>0.954</b>	-0.190	-0.013	0.950
TL	0.907	-0.040	-0.315	0.824
SL	0.938	-0.283	-0.038	0.958
KL	0.887	0.020	<b>0.424</b>	0.787
Eigen values	5.722	0.536	0.309	
Total variance (%)	81.74	7.66	4.42	
<b>Marshal x naked-neck</b>				
BL	0.892	-0.225	<b>0.349</b>	0.809
BG	0.907	-0.064	-0.312	0.786
WL	0.734	<b>0.637</b>	0.166	0.957
WS	0.897	0.138	-0.182	0.784
TL	<b>0.983</b>	-0.155	0.008	0.941
SL	0.952	-0.179	0.123	0.893
KL	0.940	-0.012	-0.116	0.837
Eigen values	5.421	0.589	0.319	
Total variance (%)	77.44	8.42	4.57	
<b>Marshal x normal-feathered</b>				
BL	0.724	<b>0.620</b>	-0.233	0.898
BG	0.801	0.235	<b>0.415</b>	0.685
WL	0.959	-0.083	-0.088	0.909
WS	<b>0.966</b>	-0.117	-0.042	0.928
TL	0.941	-0.048	-0.079	0.871
SL	0.882	-0.335	-0.172	0.880
KL	0.859	-0.120	0.218	0.739
Eigen values	5.322	0.588	0.438	
Total variance (%)	76.02	8.41	6.9	
<b>Naked-neck</b>				
BL	0.900	0.128	0.046	0.831
BG	0.891	-0.173	0.262	0.828
WL	<b>0.959</b>	-0.062	-0.279	0.925
WS	0.931	-0.073	<b>-0.361</b>	0.874
TL	0.835	-0.466	0.042	0.934
SL	0.797	<b>0.544</b>	-0.069	0.959
KL	0.891	0.136	0.391	0.818
Eigen values	5.526	0.643	0.277	
Total variance (%)	78.95	9.19	3.99	
<b>Normal-feathered</b>				
BL	0.962	-0.095	0.217	0.930
BG	0.964	-0.163	0.079	0.942
WL	0.972	0.003	-0.258	0.944
WS	0.848	<b>0.743</b>	0.049	0.998
TL	0.972	-0.083	-0.255	0.946
SL	<b>0.977</b>	-0.170	-0.102	0.970
KL	0.962	-0.144	<b>0.282</b>	0.933
Eigen values	6.339	0.324	0.119	
Total variance (%)	90.57	4.63	1.70	

the percent of variance explained becomes 100%, but the model might be over-fitted. Meanwhile, three components should be retained according to the PRESS in M and Nm. PCR suggested number of the proper number of PCs based on the PRESS statistics and variance explained in the model effects. Principal component factor score coefficients for M, MNk, MNm, Nk and Nm chickens were generated and used instead of the original interdependent linear body measurements in predicting the BW of each genotypes.

The interdependent original linear body measurements and their independent principal component factor scores were used for the prediction of BW (Table 6). TL alone accounted for 76.21%, 62.72%, and 75.52% of the variation in BW for M, MNm and Nk respectively. However, the best prediction equation ( $R^2=85.61\%$ ) for BW was obtained when BG was included in the model for M. In Nk chickens, the best prediction equation ( $R^2=85.52\%$ ) for BW was obtained when BG was included in the model. Also, In MNm chickens, the best prediction equation ( $R^2=70.88\%$ ) for BW was obtained when KL was included in the model. BG alone accounted for 91.66% and 69.05% of the variation in BW for Nm and MNk respectively. The high association between BW and BG in Nm might be attributed to large deposits of cartilage and muscles in breast region of the birds (Ajayi et al., 2012). The proportion of the explained variance increased to 93.85% when body length was included in the model. In MNk genotype, the proportion of the explained variance was further increased to 70.88% when KL was included in the model. Meanwhile, PC1 explained 76.17%, 73.09%, 70.92%, 87.04% and 91.41% of the total variability in BW in M, MNk, MNm, Nk and Nm respectively. However, a combination of PC1, PC2 and PC3 led to a significant improvement in the proportion of variance explained ( $R^2=85.03\%$ ) in M genotype while PC1, PC2 and PC3 led to substantial improvement in the percentage of variance explained ( $R^2=93.57\%$ ) in Nm genotype. The use of principal component scores (orthogonal traits) gave an improved and more reliable assessment of body weight since it was able to remove multicollinearity, a problem associated with the use of interdependent original body measurements (Yakubu et al., 2009).

**Table 5** Percent variation accounted for by Principal components and Cross validation for the number of extracted factors of the linear body measurements of chickens

Number of extracted factors	Model effects		Dependent variables		Prob>PRESS
	Current	Total	Current	Total	
Marshal					
1	81.739	81.739	76.171	76.171	0.0001
2	7.660	89.400	3.191	79.361	0.006
3	4.415	93.815	5.672	85.033	0.031
Marshal x naked-neck					
1	77.442	77.442	73.095	73.095	0.004
Marshal x normal-feathered					
1	76.023	76.023	70.916	70.916	0.0001
Naked-neck					
1	78.950	78.950	87.041	87.041	0.0001
Normal-feathered					
1	90.569	90.569	91.413	91.413	.0001
2	4.632	95.201	1.128	92.542	0.01
3	1.701	96.902	1.024	93.566	0.05

**Table 6** Stepwise multiple regression of body weight on original body measurements and on their principal component (PC) factor scores in chickens.

Variables	Model	SE	R <sup>2</sup>
Marshal chickens			
Original body measurements as explanatory variables			
Thigh length	BW= -981.656+117.564TL	9.180	76.21
breast girth and thigh length	BW= -981.656+48.676BG+117.564TL	12.304	85.61
Orthogonal traits as independent variables			
	BW=785.130+56.116PC1	3.838	76.17
	BW=785.130+56.116PC1-65.886PC3	16.514	81.84
	BW=785.130+56.116PC1+37.516PC2-65.886PC3	12.538	85.03
Marshal x naked-neck chickens			
Original body measurements as explanatory variables			
breast girth	BW= -447.878+63.141BG	6.233	69.05
breast girth and thigh length	BW= -626.199+41.4716BG+78.505TL	28.733	73.45
Orthogonal traits as independent variables			
	BW=620.395+51.130PC1	4.573	73.09
Marshal x normal-feathered chickens			
Original body measurements as explanatory variables			
thigh length	BW= -886.690+109.185TL	10.777	62.72
breast girth and keel length	BW= -1072.933+67.933TL+104.214KL	25.411	70.88
Orthogonal traits as independent variables			
	BW=631.333+54.945PC1	4.505	70.92
Naked-neck chickens			
Original body measurements as explanatory variables			
breast girth	BW= -671.302+77.303TL	7.439	75.52
breast girth and thigh length	BW= -704.931+40.285BL+46.221BG	8.311	85.52
Orthogonal traits as independent variables			
	BW=490.972+44.469PC1	2.900	87.04
Normal-feathered chickens			
Original body measurements as explanatory variables			
breast girth	BW= -565.974+68.558BG	3.116	91.66
breast girth and thigh length	BW= -609.395+34.963BL+43.185BG	8.938	93.85
Orthogonal traits as independent variables			
	BW=454.652+56.102PC1	2.592	91.41
	BW=454.652+56.102PC1-27.560PC2	10.805	92.54
	BW=454.652+56.102PC1-27.560PC2+43.339PC3	16.759	93.57



Based on this study, orthogonal variables derived from PCs gave a better and more dependable estimation of body weight than the use of the original independent variables. Hence, Principal component regression should be used to classify chicken morph-structural variables thereby eliminating redundant information for the purpose of reducing costs of chickens' genetic programmes.

### Conclusions

Principal components regression analysis has been presented to be useful in the prediction of body weight using orthogonal variable traits. In Stepwise multiple regression analysis, BG, KL and TL were the only traits involved in prediction of body weight for original traits, while only PC1 in MNk, MNm and Nk but PC1, PC2 as well as PC3 in M and Nm for orthogonal traits. The use of orthogonal body shape characteristics derived from principal components' scores was more appropriate than the use of original traits in body weight prediction as multicollinearity problems were eliminated. Also, the best result was obtained in Nm (using number of PCs that stepwise multiple regressions selected and  $R^2$  value) followed by M while the least prediction was obtained in MNk.

### References

- Adeleke, M.A., S.O. Peters, M.O. Ozoje, C.O.N. Ikeobi, A.M. Bamgbose and O. A. Adebambo. 2011. Growth performance of Nigerian local chickens in crosses involving an exotic broiler breeder. *Tropical Animal Health and Production* 43: 643-650.
- Afolayan, R.A., I.A. Adeyinka and C.A.M. Lakpini. 2006. Prediction of live weight from objective live-dimensional traits in Yankassa sheep. *Proceeding of the 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production*, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria. March 12-15, 2006.
- Ajayi, O.O., M.A. Adeleke, M.T. Sanni, A. Yakubu, S.O. Peters, I.G. Imumorin, M.O. Ozoje, C.O.N. Ikeobi, O.A. Adebambo. 2012. Application of principal component and discriminant analyses to morphostructural indices of indigenous and exotic chickens raised under intensive management system. *Tropic. Animal Health Product.* 44: 1247-1254.
- Alabi, O.J., J.W. Ng'ambi, D. Norris and S.S.A. Egena. 2012. Comparative study of three indigenous chicken breeds of South Africa: Body weight and linear body measurement. *Agri. J.* 7: 220-225.
- Clemens, R., F. Peter, G.G. Robert and D. Rudolf. 2008. *Statistical Data Analysis Explained Applied Environmental Statistics with R*. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern. Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England.
- Hatcher, L. 1994. *A Step-by-Step Approach to Using the SAS System for Factor Analysis and Structural Equation Modeling*, SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA.
- Hoe, J.S. and D.S. Kim. 2004. A new method of ozone forecasting using fuzzy expert and neural network systems. *Sci. Total Environ.* 325: 221-237.
- Jolliffe, I. 2002. *Principal Component Analysis*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Springer.
- Mendes, M. 2009. Multiple linear regression models based on principal component scores to predict slaughter weight of broilers. *Archive Geflügelkunde* 73: 139-144.
- Özkan, M.M. and M. Mendes. 2004. Empirical table values of Eigen values for different variable numbers and sample size combinations. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.* 7: 870-878.
- S.A.S (Statistical Analysis System). 2003. *SAS for windows*, Release 9.1 SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Patra, B.N., R.K.S. Bais, R.B. Prasad and B.P. Singh. 2002. Performance of naked-neck versus normally feathered coloured broilers for growth, carcass traits and blood biochemical parameters in tropical climates. *Asian-Aust. J. Animal Sci.* 12: 560-563.
- Peters, S.O., M.A. Adeleke, M.O. Ozoje, O.A. Adebambo and C.O.N. Ikeobi. 2006. Bio-prediction of live weight from linear body measurement traits among pure and crossbred chickens. *Poultry Sci.* 4: 1- 6.
- Sharma, S. 1996. *Applied Multivariate Techniques*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Canada.
- Sousa, S.I.V., F.G. Martins, M.C.M. Alvim-Ferraz and M.C. Pereira. 2007. Multiple linear regression and artificial neural Networks based on principal components to predict ozone concentrations. *Environ. Model. Software* 22: 97-103.
- Thompson, M.L., J. Reynolds, L.H. Cox, P. Guttorp and P.D. Sampson. 2001. A review of statistical methods for the meteorological adjustment of tropospheric ozone. *Atmospheric Environment* 35: 617-630.
- Udeh, I. and C.C. Ogbu. 2011. Principal component analysis of body measurement in three strains of broiler chicken. *Science World Journal* 6: 11-14.
- Yakubu, A., D. Kuje and M. Okpeku. 2009. Principal components as measures of size and shape in Nigerian indigenous chickens. *Thai J. Agri. Sci.* 42: 167-176.
- Yakubu, A. and J.A. Ayoade. 2009. Application of Principal components factor analysis in quantifying size and morphological indices of domestic rabbits. *Intern. J. Morphol.* 27: 1013-1017.

Manuscript received 29 June 2015, accepted 29 July 2016