Symbolic Integration for Line Integrals II

Utsanee Leerawat and Vichian Laohakosol¹

ABSTRACT

The version of Liouville Theorem for line integrals is furthered from elementary to EL-extensions so as to include not only elementary but also special functions such as error functions and logarithmic integrals.

Key words: Liouville Theorem, symbolic integration, special functions, error functions

INTRODUCTION

The problem of line integration is sometimes referred to as the problem of multivariate integration. Roughly speaking: if $f_1(x_1,...,x_n),...,$ $f_n(x_1,...,x_n)$ are functions of n variables over the field of complex numbers and if there exists a g such that

$$\nabla g = (\partial g/\partial x_1, ..., \partial g/\partial x_n) = (f_1, ..., f_n),$$

then the line integral $\int (f_1 dx_1 + ... + f_n dx_n)$ is explicitly integrable into certain special forms.

A multivariate generalization for line integrals of the Weak Liouville Theorem (Caviness and Rothstein, 1975) states that:let G be a differential field that is regular elementary over the differential field F of characteristic zero. Let a be in F^n . If there exists b in G such that $\nabla(b,...,b) = a$, then there exist constants $c_1,...,c_m$ in F and elements $d_0, d_1,...,d_m$ in F such that

$$a = \nabla(d_0,...,d_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (c_i,...,c_i) \nabla(d_i,...d_i) / (d_i,...d_i)$$

For the proof of this theorem, B.F. Caviness and M. Rothstein made use of a new derivation ∇ on \mathbb{R}^n , where R is a commutative ring with identity, which is defined as follows: let $D_1,...,D_n$ be derivations on R; define

$$\nabla (a_1,...,a_n) = (D1a_1,...,D_na_n)$$

for all $(a_1,...,a_n)$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

In Leerawat and Laohakosol (1992), a gener-

alization of Liouville Theorem for line integrals, from elementary to generalized elementary extensions, was presented. Thereupon, it was remarked that another generalization, to the socalled EL-elementary extension (Singer *et al.*, 1985) that includes more special functions such as error functions and logarithmic integrals, seemed possible. Here, we affirmatively make complete this remark.

Section 2 contains preliminary definitions and some lemmas basic to the proof of the main result.

In section 3, we give the main results of the paper.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A derivation of a commutative ring with identity R is a mapping D of R into itself such that

$$D(x+y) = D(x) + D(y)$$
 and

$$D(xy) = xD(y) + yD(x)$$
 for all x, y in R.

A differential ring is a commutative ring with identity and an indexed family $\{D_i/i{\in}I\}$ of derivations of the ring. A differential field is a differential ring that is a field. The constants of the differential ring are \bigcap ker D_i .

A differential extension field of a differential field F with a family of derivations $\{D_i/i\in I\}$ is an extension field K of F together with a family of derivations $\{D'_i/i\in I\}$ of K indexed by the same set such that the restriction of each D'_i to F is D_i .

Consider $R^n = \{(a_1,...,a_n)/a_i \text{ is in } R \text{ for } i = 1\}$

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10903, Thailand.

1,...,n. R^n is a commutative ring with identity when addition and multiplication are defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} (a_1,...,a_n)+(b_1,...,b_n)&=(a_1+b_1,...,a_n+b_n) \ \text{ and } \\ (a_1,...,a_n)(b_1,...,b_n)&=(a_1b_1,...,a_nb_n) \\ \text{for all } (a_1,...,a_n),\, (b_1,...,b_n) \text{ in } R^n. \end{split}$$

$$R^n$$
 contains a subring $\underline{R} = \{(\underbrace{a,...,a})/a \text{ in } R\}.$

For brevity, write \underline{a} for (a,...,a).

Moreover, the mapping $a \rightarrow a$ clearly defines an isomorphism of R onto R.

Let F be a differential field with derivations $D_1,...,D_n$. Define $\nabla(a_1,...,a_n) = (D_1a_1,...,D_na_n)$ for all (a₁,...,a_n) in Fⁿ. In any differential extension field E of F, we also use the same symbols $D_1,...,D_n$ for derivations of E.

Let C be the subfield of constants of F. Let A and B be finite indexing sets and let

$$E = \{G_{\alpha} (\exp R_{\alpha} (Y))/\alpha \in A\},\$$

$$L = \{ H_{\beta} (\log S_{\beta}(Y))/\beta \in B \},\$$

be sets of expressions where:

- 1. G_{α} , R_{α} , H_{β} are in C(Y) for all $\alpha \in A$, $\beta \in B$,
- 2. for all $\beta \in B$, if $H_{\beta}(Y) = P_{\beta}(Y)/Q_{\beta}(Y)$ with $P_{\beta},\,Q_{\beta}\ \ \text{in}\ C[Y]\ \text{and}\ Q_{\beta}\ \neq 0,\, \text{the deg}\ P_{\beta}\ \leq \text{deg}\ Q_{\beta},$
- 3. for all $\beta \in B,$ $S_{\beta} \equiv \overline{S}_{\beta}^{1/m_{\beta}}$ with $m_{\beta} \in Z^{+},$ and $\overline{S}_{R} \in C(Y)$.

We say that a differential extension K of F is an EL - extension of F if there exist a tower of fields

$$F = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset ... \subset F_n = K$$
 such that $F_i = F_{i-1}(\theta_i)$ where for each $i = 1,...,n$, one of the following holds:

- (i) θ_i is algebraic over F_{i-1} ,
- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(ii)} & \nabla(\underline{\theta}_i)/\underline{\theta}_i = \nabla(\underline{u}) \text{ for some } u \in F_{i-1},\\ \text{(iii)} & \nabla(\underline{\theta}_i) = \nabla(\underline{u})/\underline{u} \text{ for some nonzero } u \in F_{i-1}, \end{array}$
- (iv) for some $\alpha \in A$, there are u and nonzero v in F_{i-1} such that $\nabla(\underline{\theta}_i) = \underline{G}_{\alpha}(\underline{v})\nabla(\underline{u})$ where $v = \exp$ $R_{\alpha}(u)$
- (v) for some $\beta \in B$, there are u, v in Fi-1 such that $\nabla(\underline{\theta}_i) = \underline{H}_{\beta}(\underline{V})\nabla(\underline{u})$ where $v = \log S_{\beta}(u)$ and $S_R(u) \neq 0$.

The following result will be our tool for the

proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 2.1 (Singer et al., 1985). Let k be a field containing the algebraic closure of the rationals and let X and Y be indeterminates. Let A(Y) and B(Y) be relatively prime elements of k[Y]. Furthermore, assume A/B is not an nth power in k(Y) for any positive integer n. Then the polynomial B(Y)X^m -A(Y) is irreducible in K(X)[Y] for all positive integer m.

Lemma 2.2 (Singer et al., 1985). Let k be a field, X and Y indeterminates, and A(Y) and B(Y) relatively prime elements of k[Y]. If a and b are elements of k with $a \neq 0$, then A(Y) - (aX+b) B(Y) is irreducible in K(X)[Y].

Lemma 2.3 (Rosenlicht, 1976). Let k be a differential field, of characteristic zero, K a differential extension field of k with the same constants, with K algebraic over k(t) for some given $t \in K$. Suppose that $c_1,...,c_n$ are constants of k that are linearly independent over Q, that u₁,...,u_n, v are elements of K, with u₁,...,u_n nonzero, and that for given derivation D of K we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i Du_i / u_i + Dv \in k$$

If for each given derivation D of K we have Dt \in k, then $u_1,...,u_n$ are algebraic over k and there exists a constant c of k such that v+ct is algebraic over k. If for each given derivation D of K we have $Dt/t \in k$, then v is algebraic over k and there are integers m_0 , m_1 ,..., m_i , with $m_0 \neq 0$, such that each $u_i^{m_0}t^{m_i}$ is algebraic over k.

RESULTS

For notational convenience, throughout the remaining discussion, EL-extension and all associated symbols, namely, A, B, G_{α} , R_{α} , H_{β} , P_{β} , Q_{β} , S_{β} and $\overline{S}_{\!\beta}$ take the meaning as described in section 2 and will not be explicitly prescribed.

Theorem. Let F be an algebrically closed differential field of characteristic zero with derivations D₁,...,D_n. Let C be an algebraically closed subfield of constants of F. Let E be an EL-extension of F having the same subfield of constants. Let $\gamma \in F^n$. If there exists b in E such that $\nabla b = \gamma$, then there exist

 b_i , $c_{i\alpha}$, $d_{i\alpha}$ in C, v_i in F and $w_{i\alpha}$, $x_{i\alpha}$, $y_{i\beta}$, $z_{i\beta}$ in F, such that

$$\begin{split} \gamma &= \nabla(\underline{v}_0) + \sum_{i \ \in J} \underline{b}_i \nabla(\underline{v}_i) / \underline{v}_i + \sum_{\alpha \in A} \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} & \underline{c}_{i\alpha} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha}) \underline{G}_\alpha \left(\underline{x}_{i\alpha}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in B} \sum_{i \in J_\beta} \underline{d}_{i\beta} \nabla(\underline{y}_{i\beta}) \ H_\beta(\underline{z}_{i\beta}) \ , \end{split}$$

where A, B, J, I_{α} and J_{β} are all finite indexing sets, $x_{i\alpha} = \exp R_{\alpha} (w_{i\alpha})$ and $z_{i\beta} = \log S_{\beta} (y_{i\beta})$ and $S_{\beta} (y_{i\beta}) \neq 0$ for all α , β and i.

The proof of Theorem is by induction on the transcendence degree of E over F and can be seen to follow immediately from the following Lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let F be an algebrically closed differential field of characteristic 0 with derivations $D_i,...,D_n$ and C being its algebraically closed subfield of constants. Assume that $\overline{S}_{\beta} \notin C(Y)^n$ for all positive integer $n \geq 2$

Let θ be transcendental over F and satisfy

(*)-----
$$\nabla (\underline{\theta}) = \underline{u}\underline{\theta}$$
 for some $u \in F$.

Let E be a finite algebraic differential extension of $F(\theta)$ equipped with extended derivations $D_1,...D_n$. Assume that the field of constants of E is C. Let $\gamma \in F^n$. Assume that there exist

$$1.\ b_i\in C, v_0\in E, v_i\in E\backslash \left\{0\right\}\ \forall\ i\in J,$$

2
$$c_{i\alpha} \in C$$
, $w_{i\alpha}$, $x_{i\alpha} \in E \setminus \{0\} \ \forall \ i \in I_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in A$,

$$3.\,d_{i\beta}\in C,\,\,y_{i\beta}\,,\,z_{i\beta}\in E\backslash\{0\}\,\,\forall\,\,i\in J_\beta\,,\,\beta\in B,$$

such that

$$\begin{split} (1) &- \cdots - \gamma = \nabla(\underline{v}_0) + \sum_{i \in J} \underline{b}_i \nabla(\underline{v}_i) / \underline{v}_i + \\ & \sum_{\alpha \in A} \sum_{i \in J_\alpha} \underline{c}_{i\alpha} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha}) \ \underline{G}_\alpha(\underline{x}_{i\alpha}) + \\ & \sum_{\beta \in B} \sum_{i \in J_\alpha} \underline{d}_{i\beta} \nabla(\underline{y}_{i\beta}) \ \underline{H}_\beta(\underline{z}_{i\beta}) \end{split}$$

where J, I_{α} and J_{β} are finite indexing sets, $x_{i\alpha} = \exp R_{\alpha} (w_{i\alpha}) \ \forall \ i \in I_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in A$ and $z_{i\beta} = \log S_{\beta} (y_{i\beta})$ and $S_{\beta} (y_{i\beta}) \neq 0 \ \forall \ i \in J_{\beta}, \beta \in B$. Then there exist

1.
$$\overline{a}$$
, $\overline{b}_i \in C$, $\overline{v}_0 \in F$, $\overline{v}_i \in F / \{0\} \ \forall \ i \in \overline{J}$,
2. $\overline{c}_{i\alpha} \in C$, $\overline{w}_{i\alpha}$, $\overline{x}_{i\alpha} \in F \setminus \{0\} \ \forall \ i \in \overline{I}_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \overline{A}$,
3. $\overline{d}_{i\beta} \in C$, $\overline{y}_{i\beta}$, $\overline{x}_{i\beta} \in F \setminus \{0\} \ \forall \ i \in \overline{J}_{\beta}$, $\beta \in \overline{B}$,

such that

$$(2) - \gamma = \nabla(\underline{\overline{v}}_{0}) + \underline{\overline{a}} \nabla(\underline{\theta}) / \underline{\theta} + \sum_{i \in \overline{J}} \underline{\overline{b}}_{i} \nabla(\underline{\overline{v}}_{i} / \underline{\overline{v}}_{i}) + \\ \sum_{a \in \overline{A}} \sum_{i \in \overline{J}_{a}} \underline{\overline{c}}_{ia} \nabla(\underline{\overline{w}}_{ia}) \underline{G}_{a} (\underline{\overline{x}}_{ia}) + \\ \sum_{b \in \overline{B}} \sum_{i \in \overline{J}_{b}} \underline{\overline{d}}_{ib} \nabla(\underline{\overline{y}}_{ib}) \underline{H}_{b} (\underline{\overline{z}}_{ib})$$

where $\overline{A},\overline{B},\overline{J},\overline{I}_{\alpha}$ and \overline{J}_{β} are all finite indexing sets,

$$\begin{split} \overline{x}_{i\alpha} &= \, exp \, R_{\alpha}(\overline{w}_{i\alpha}) \,\, \forall \,\, i \in \overline{I}_{\alpha} \,, \,\, \alpha \in \overline{A} \,\, and \\ \overline{z}_{i\beta} &= \, log \, S_{\beta}(\overline{y}_{i\beta}) \,\, and \,\, S_{\beta}(\overline{y}_{i\beta}) \,\, \neq \,\, 0 \,\, \forall \,\,\, i \in \overline{J}_{\beta} \,, \,\, \beta \in \overline{B}. \end{split}$$

Proof. We may assume that for all α in A, R \notin C, because if $R_{\alpha_0} \in C$ for some $\alpha_0 \in A$, then for each $i \in I_{\alpha_0}$, $x_{i\alpha_0} \in C$. Hence $G_{\alpha_0}(x_{i\alpha_0}) \in C$. Thus $\sum_{i \in I_{\alpha_0}} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha_0}) \underline{G}_{\alpha_0}(\underline{x}_{i\alpha_0})$ is of form $\nabla(\underline{v})$ where $v \in E$.

By Lemma 2.3, $x_{i\alpha} = p_{i\alpha} \, \theta^{\gamma_{i\alpha}}$, $S_{\beta} \, (y_{i\beta}) = q_{i\beta} \, \theta^{S_{i\beta}}$, for some rational integers $r_{i\alpha}$, $s_{i\beta}$ and elements $p_{i\alpha}$ $q_{i\beta}$ in F and that the $w_{i\alpha}$ and the $z_{i\beta}$ are in F. Note that we can arrange so that $r_{i\alpha}$ and $s_{i\beta}$ are actually integers. To see this, let $r_{i\alpha} = t_{i\alpha} / m$ and $s_{i\beta} = l_{i\beta} / m$, where $t_{i\alpha}$, $l_{i\beta}$ and m are integers. Let $\overline{\theta} = \theta^{i/m}$ Hence $\nabla(\overline{\theta}) = \underline{(u/m)/\overline{\theta}}$ and $F \subset F(\overline{\theta}) \subset E(\overline{\theta})$. If we replace E by $E(\overline{\theta})$ and θ by $\overline{\theta}$, we still have fields of the appropriate form and furthermore, $x_{i\alpha} = p_{i\alpha} \, \overline{\theta}^{\ t_{i\alpha}}$, and $S_{\beta} \, (y_{i\beta}) = q_{i\beta} \, \overline{\theta}^{\ l_{i\beta}}$, where $t_{i\alpha}$ and $l_{i\beta}$ are integers.

Take the trace, T, over an appropriate Galois extension of $F(\theta)$ to $F(\theta)$ on both sides of (1) to get

(3)----
$$M\gamma = \nabla(T\underline{v}_0) + \sum_i b_i \nabla(\underline{N}\underline{v}_i) / N\underline{v}_i +$$

$$M\sum_i \sum_j \underline{c}_{i\alpha} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha}) \underline{G}_{\alpha}(\underline{x}_{i\alpha}) +$$

$$\sum_i \sum_j \underline{d}_{i\beta} \nabla(\underline{T}\underline{y}_{j\beta}) \underline{H}_{\beta}(\underline{z}_{i\beta})$$

where N denote the corresponding norm and $M \in \mathbb{Z}$. We now consider the coefficient of θ^0 in each component on the right hand side of (3).

Since $Tv_0 \in F(0)$, the expression of $\nabla(\underline{Tv_0})$ which is in F^n is $\nabla(\underline{\tilde{v}_0})$ for some \tilde{v}_0 in F.

Since $Nv_i \in F(\theta)$, the expression of $\sum \underline{b}_i \nabla (\underline{Nv}_i) / \underline{Nv}_i$ which is in F^n is $\sum \underline{b}_i \nabla (\underline{k}_i) / \underline{k}_i + \sum \sum \underline{b}_i \underline{n}_j \underline{u}$, where the $k_i \in F \setminus \{0\}$ and $n_{ii} \in Z$. Next, write

$$\sum \sum \underline{c}_{i\alpha} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha}) G_{\alpha}(\underline{x}_{i\alpha}) = \sum_{r_{i\alpha} = 0} + \sum_{r_{i\alpha} \neq 0} \sum_{i\alpha} + \sum_{r_{i\alpha} \neq 0} \sum_{i\alpha} - \sum_{r_{i\alpha} \neq 0} \sum_{r_{i\alpha} \neq 0} - \sum_{r_{i\alpha} \neq 0} - \sum_{r_{i\alpha} \neq 0} \sum_{r_{i\alpha} \neq 0} - \sum_{r_{i\alpha$$

If $r_{i\alpha} = 0$, then $G_{\alpha}(x_{i\alpha})$ are in F. Assume that $r_{i\alpha} \neq 0$. The expression of $\sum_{r_{i\alpha} \neq 0} \sum_{\underline{c}_{i\alpha}} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha}) G_{\alpha}(\underline{x}_{i\alpha})$

which is in F^n is $\sum_{r_{i\alpha\neq 0}}\sum\underline{c'}_{i\alpha}\nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha})$ where the

$$\begin{split} &c'_{\ i\alpha} \ \text{ are constants. Therefore the expression of} \\ &\sum\sum_{c_{i\alpha}} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha})\underline{G}_{\alpha}\left(\underline{x}_{i\alpha}\right) \text{ which is in } F^n \text{ is} \\ &\sum_{r_{i\alpha}=\ 0} \sum_{c_{i\alpha}} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha})G_{\alpha}(\underline{x}_{i\alpha}) + \sum_{r_{i\alpha}\neq 0} \sum_{c_{i\alpha}} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha}) \\ &\text{Finally, we consider } \sum_{\beta} \sum_{i} \underline{d}_{i} \nabla(\underline{T}\underline{y}_{i}) \underline{H}_{\beta}(\underline{z}_{i\beta}). \end{split}$$

Write
$$\sum_{\beta} \sum_{i} = \sum_{s_{i\beta}=0} + \sum_{s_{i\beta}\neq 0}$$
.

If $s_{i\beta} = 0$, then $y_{i\beta}$ are in F, and hence $\sum_{s_{i\beta} = 0} \sum_{s_{i\beta} = 0} \in F^n$.

Assume that $s_{i\beta} \neq 0$. Write $\overline{S}_{\beta}(Y) = A_{\beta}(Y)/B_{\beta}(Y)$ where A_{β} , B_{β} in C[Y], $B_{\beta} \neq 0$ and A_{β} and B_{β} are relatively prime. Each $y_{i\beta}$ satisfies

$$q_{i\beta}^{m_{i\beta}}\theta^{m_{i\beta}s_{i\beta}}B_{\beta}(Y)-A_{\beta}(Y)=0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, $q_{i\beta}^{m_{i\beta}}\theta^{m_{i\beta}s_{i\beta}}B_{\beta}(Y)-A_{\beta}(Y)$ is irreducible over $F(\theta)$.

So we see that
$$Ty_{i\beta} = m_{i\beta} \frac{\delta_{i\beta} q_{i\beta}^{m_{i\beta}} \theta^{m_{i\beta}s_{i\beta}} + \epsilon_{i\beta}}{\mu_{i\beta} q_{i\beta}^{m_{i\beta}} \theta^{m_{i\beta}s_{i\beta}} + \nu_{i\beta}}$$
,

where $m_{i\beta} \in Z^+$, $\delta_{i\beta}$, $\epsilon_{i\beta}$, $\mu_{i\beta}$, $\nu_{i\beta} \in C$.

It is straightforward to see that the expression of

 $\nabla \underline{Ty}_{i\beta}$ which is in F^n is 0. Hence the term of

$$\begin{split} &\sum\sum\underline{d}_{i\beta}\nabla(\underline{Ty}_{i\beta})\underline{H}_{\beta}(\underline{z}_{i\beta}) \ \ \text{which is in } F^n \text{ is} \\ &M\sum_{s_{i\beta}=0}\underline{d}_{i\beta}\nabla(\underline{y}_{i\beta})\underline{H}_{\beta}(\underline{z}_{i\beta}). \end{split}$$

Equating terms in each component of (3) with respect to θ^0 , we obtain the correct sum of γ .

Lemma 3.2. Assume all hypothesis of Lemma 3.1, except for equation (*). Instead of (*), θ satisfies $\nabla(\underline{\theta}) \in F^n$ and assume that for all $\beta, \in B$, if $H_{\beta}(Y) = P_{\beta}(Y)/Q_{\beta}(Y)$ with P_{β},Q_{β} in C[Y], and $Q_{\beta} \neq 0$, then deg $P_{\beta} \leq \deg Q_{\beta}$. Then there exist

1.
$$\overline{a}, \overline{b}_i \in \mathbb{C}, \overline{v}_0 \in \mathbb{F}, \overline{v}_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall i \in \overline{J},$$

2.
$$\bar{c}_{i\alpha} \in C, \overline{w}_{i\alpha}, \overline{x}_{i\alpha} \in F \setminus \{0\} \ \forall \ i \in \bar{I}_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \overline{A}$$

3.
$$\overline{d}_{i\alpha} \in C, \overline{y}_{i\beta}, \overline{z}_{i\beta} \in F \setminus \{0\} \ \forall \ i \in \overline{J}_{\beta}, \beta \in \overline{B}$$

such that

$$\begin{split} \gamma &= \nabla(\overline{\underline{v}}_0) + \underline{\overline{a}} \, \nabla(\underline{\theta}) + \sum_{i \in J} \underline{\overline{b}}_i \nabla(\overline{\underline{v}}_i) / \underline{\overline{v}}_i + \\ &\sum_{\alpha \in \overline{A}} \sum_{i \in \overline{J}_{\alpha}} \underline{c}_{i\alpha} \nabla(\underline{w}_{i\alpha}) \underline{G}_{\alpha} (\underline{x}_{i\alpha}) + \\ &\sum_{\beta \in \overline{B}} \sum_{i \in \overline{J}_{\beta}} \underline{d}_{i\beta} \nabla(\underline{y}_{i\beta}) \underline{H}_{\beta} (\underline{z}_{i\beta}) \end{split}$$

where \overline{A} , \overline{B} , \overline{J} , \overline{I}_{α} and \overline{J}_{β} are all finite indexing sets,

$$\begin{split} & \overline{x}_{i\alpha} = \, exp \, R_{\alpha}(\overline{w}_{i\alpha}) \quad \forall \, \, i \in \overline{I}_{\alpha} \,, \, \alpha \in \overline{A} \, \text{ and } \\ & \overline{z}_{i\beta} \, = \, \log S_{\beta}(\overline{y}_{i\beta}) \, \text{ and } S_{\beta}(\overline{y}_{i\beta}) \neq 0 \quad \forall \, \, i \in \overline{J}_{\beta} \,, \, \beta \in \overline{B}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we get the $x_{i\alpha}$, $y_{i\beta}$ are in F, R_{α} ($w_{i\alpha}$) = $\lambda_{i\alpha} \theta + p_{i\alpha}$, $z_{i\beta} = \overline{\lambda}_{i\beta}\theta + q_{i\beta}$ where $\lambda_{i\alpha}$, $\overline{\lambda}_{i\beta} \in C$ and $p_{i\alpha}$, $q_{i\beta} \in C$

F and

$$(1) ---- M\gamma = \nabla (T\underline{\mathbf{v}}_0) + \sum_{i \in J} \underline{\mathbf{b}}_i \nabla (\underline{\mathbf{N}}\underline{\mathbf{v}}_i) / \underline{\mathbf{N}}\underline{\mathbf{v}}_i +$$

$$M \sum_{\alpha \in A} \sum_{i \in J_{\alpha}} \underline{\mathbf{c}}_{i\alpha} \nabla (\underline{T}\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{i\alpha}) \underline{\mathbf{G}}_{\alpha} (\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{i\alpha}) +$$

$$M \sum_{\beta \in Bi \in J_{\beta}} \underline{\mathbf{d}}_{i\beta} \nabla (\underline{T}\underline{\mathbf{y}}_{i\beta}) \ \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{\beta} (\underline{\mathbf{z}}_{i\beta})$$

where $M \in Z$.

We now consider each component in the right hand side of (1). For each derivation D,

(2)--
$$D(Tv_0)+\sum b_i D(Nv_i)/Nv_i+\sum\sum c_{i\alpha} D(Tw_{i\alpha})G(x_{i\alpha})+$$

+ $M\sum\sum d_{i\beta} D(y_{i\beta})H(z_{i\beta}) \in F.$

Since $Nv_i \in F(\theta)$, $\sum b_i D(Nv_i)/Nv_i = \sum b_i Dk_i/k_i +$ an expression belonging to $F(\theta)\backslash F[\theta]$, where the k_i are in F.

Next, consider
$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha}} c_{i\alpha} D(Tw_i)G(x_i)$$
.

Write

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha}} \ c_{i\alpha} \, D(Tw_i) \, G(x_{i\alpha}) \ = \sum_{\lambda_{i\alpha} = 0} \sum \ + \sum_{\lambda_{i\alpha} \neq 0} \sum \ .$$

If $\lambda_{i\alpha} = 0$, then $Tw_{i\alpha} = Mw_{i\alpha}$.

Assume that $\lambda_{i\alpha} \neq 0$. Write $R_{\alpha}(Y) = A_{\alpha}(Y) / B_{\alpha}(Y)$ where A_{α} and B_{α} are relatively prime in C[Y] and $B_{\alpha} \neq 0$. Each $w_{i\alpha}$ satisfies $A_{\alpha}(Y) - (\lambda_{i\alpha}\theta + p_{i\alpha})B_{\alpha}(Y) = 0$. By Lemma2.2, $A_{\alpha}(Y) - (\lambda_{i\alpha}\theta + p_{i\alpha})B_{\alpha}(Y)$ is irreducible over $F(\theta)$. So

$$Tw_{i\alpha} = m_{i\alpha} \left(\frac{\delta_{i\alpha} (\lambda_{i\alpha} \theta + p_{i\alpha}) + \epsilon_{i\alpha}}{\mu_{i\alpha} (\lambda_{i\alpha} \theta + p_{i\alpha}) + \nu_{i\alpha}} \right),$$

where $\delta_{i\alpha}$, $\epsilon_{i\alpha}$, $\mu_{i\alpha}$, $\nu_{i\alpha} \in C$ and $m_{i\alpha} \in Z^+$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\sum \sum_{i \in I} c_i D(Tw_i) G(x_i)$$

$$= M \sum_{\lambda_{i\alpha} = 0} \sum_{i \in I} c_{i\alpha} D(w_{i\alpha}) G(x_{i\alpha}) + D(\tilde{w}_0) + \sum_{i \in I} \tilde{c}_i D(\tilde{w}_1) / (\tilde{w}_1) +$$

+ an expression belonging to $F(\theta) \setminus F[\theta]$,

where the $\tilde{c}_i \in C$, the $\tilde{w}_i \in F$ and \overline{J} is a finite indexing set.

Finally, consider
$$\sum_{\beta \in B} \sum_{i \in J_{\beta}} d_{i\beta} \ D(y_{i\beta}) \ H_{\beta} \ (z_{i\beta}).$$

Write

$$\sum \ \sum d_{i\beta} \ D(y_{i\beta}) \ H_{\beta} \ (z_{i\beta}) = \sum_{\overline{\lambda}_{i\beta} = 0} \sum \ + \sum_{\overline{\lambda}_{i\beta} \neq 0} \sum \ . \label{eq:delta_ib}$$

Clearly, $\sum_{\lambda_{iR}=0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \in F$. To deal with the sum corres-

ponding to $\overline{\lambda}_{i\beta} \neq 0$, recall that

deg (numerator H_{β}) \leq deg (denominatior H_{β}).

So
$$H_{\beta}(Y) = \sum \sum_{i} a_{ij}/(Y - \alpha_{i})^{j} + q_{\beta}$$
, where a_{ij} , α_{i} , $q_{\beta} \in C$.

Hence

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\overline{\lambda}_{i\beta} \neq 0} \sum d_{i\beta} \ D(y_{i\beta}) \ H_{\beta} \ (z_{i\beta}) &= \sum_{\overline{\lambda}_{i\beta} \neq 0} \sum d_{i\beta} \ D(y_{i\beta}) \ q_{\beta} + \\ &+ \text{ an expression belonging to } F(\theta) \backslash F[\theta]. \end{split}$$

From (2), we can conclude that

$$(3) \text{----} D(Tv_0) + \sum b_i D(k_i) / K_i + \\ M \sum_{\lambda_{i\alpha} = 0} c_{i\alpha} D(w_{i\alpha}) G_{\alpha}(x_{i\alpha}) + \\ D(\tilde{w}_0) + \sum \tilde{c}_i D(\tilde{w}_i) / \tilde{w}_i + \\ M \sum_{\bar{\lambda}_{i\beta} = 0} d_{i\beta} D(y_{i\beta}) H_{\beta}(z_{i\beta}) \\ M \sum_{\bar{\lambda}_{i\beta} \neq 0} d_{i\beta} D(y_{i\beta}) q_{\beta} + \\ + \text{an expression belonging to } F(\theta) \backslash F[\theta] \in F.$$

Since $Tv_0 \in F(\theta)$,

$$D(Tv_0) = \tilde{v}_i \theta + \tilde{v}_i D(\theta) + D(\tilde{v}_0) +$$
+ an expression belonging to F(\theta)\F[\theta],

where the $\tilde{v}_i \in C$ and $\tilde{v}_0 \in F$.

Substituting $D(Tv_0)$ into (3) and then by (1), since $M\gamma \in F^n$, only coefficients of θ^0 can survive leading to the result of Lemma.

Example. Let F be the algebraic closure of $C(x, y, \log x)$, (C denote the field of complex numbers).

Take
$$D_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$
 and $D_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

Recall that the logarithmic integral is defined by $li(u) = \int \frac{D(u)}{\log u}, \text{ where } D \text{ is a derivation on a field containing } u.$

Let
$$z = li(x^2 + y)$$
, $E = F(z)$ and

$$\gamma = (2x/\log(x^2+y), 1/\log(x^2+y)) \in F^2$$

Note that

- (i) E is an EL-extension over F with $E = \emptyset$ and $L = \{ 1/\log Y \}$,
- (ii) the subfield of constants of E and F with respect to D_1 and D_2 is C,
- (iii) z satisfies $\nabla z = \gamma$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work carried out in this papar forms part of a project supported by a research grant from Kasetsart University (Code Number: App. Tech. 4.4.35).

LITERATURE CITED

- Caviness, B.F., and M. Rothstein. 1975. A liouville theorem on integration in finite terms for line integrals. Communications in algebra 3:781-795.
- Leerawat, U. and V. Laohakosol. 1992. Symbolic integration for line integrals. Paper presented at the 2nd Mathematics Conference. Chiang Mai Univ., Chiang Mai. 7p.
- Rosenlicht, M.1976 On Liouville's theorey of elementary functions. Pacific J. Math. 65: 485-492.
- Singer, M.F., B.D. Saunders, and B.F. Caviness. 1985. An extension of Liouville's theorem on integration in finite terms. SIAM J. of Computing 14:966-990.