



Review article

Replacement of maize by sorghum in broiler chicken diet: A meta-analysis study of its effects on production performance, mineral tibia content, intestinal villi and nutrient digestibility

Cecep Hidayat^{a,b,*}, Anuraga Jayanegara^{b,c}, Danung Nur Adli^{b,d}, Sadarman Sadarman^{b,e,g}, Mohammad Miftakhus Sholikin^{b,h}, Teguh Wahyono^{b,f}, Iwan Herdiawan^a, Bram Bramantiyo^a, Isbandi Isbandi^a, Supardi Rusdiana^a

^a Indonesian Research Institute for Animal Production, Ciawi Bogor 16720, Indonesia

^b Animal Feed and Nutrition Modelling (AFENUE) Research Group, Faculty of Animal Science, IPB University, Bogor 16680, Indonesia

^c Department of Nutrition and Feed Technology, Faculty of Animal Science, IPB University, Bogor 16680, Indonesia

^d Faculty of Animal Science, University of Brawijaya, Malang 65145, East Java, Indonesia

^e Department of Animal Science, Sultan Syarif Kasim State Islamic University, Pekanbaru 28293, Indonesia

^f Research Center for Food Processes and Technology, National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Yogyakarta 55861, Indonesia

^g Center for Livestock Studies and Development (CLISDEV), Pahlawan Tuanku Tambusai University, Jl. Tuanku Tambusai No. 23, Riau 28412, Indonesia

^h National Research and Innovation Agency of Indonesia, Jakarta 10340, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received 3 January 2022

Revised 28 March 2022

Accepted 6 April 2022

Available online 30 June 2022

Keywords:

Broiler chicken,

Meta-analysis,

Performance,

Replacement,

Sorghum

Abstract

Importance of the work: The demand for maize is increasing every year. Sorghum is well-known as a replacement feed for broiler chickens.

Objectives: To evaluate the effect of dietary sorghum inclusion (by replacing maize) in a broiler chicken diet on the production performance, mineral calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P) tibia content, intestinal villi and nutrient digestibility using a meta-analysis technique.

Materials & Methods: The data originated from published scientific articles obtained using search engines (Scopus and Google Scholar). The next stage was the evaluation of suitability regarding the research topic and study objectives, after which 20 articles were selected for the database. The database was statistically analyzed using a mixed model, with the variable level of inclusion of sorghum in broiler chicken diets analyzed using a fixed effect, while the different experiments were analyzed using random effects.

Results: The inclusion level of sorghum in the broiler chicken diet did not significantly affect the main parameters of broiler chicken performance—average daily gain, feed conversion ratio, mortality and average daily feed intake. The dietary sorghum level did not significantly affect villus height, crypt depth or the tibia mineral content (Ca and P). Furthermore, the dietary sorghum inclusion level did not significantly affect dry matter and fat digestibility.

Main finding: Sorghum has a biological effect that is not different from maize, so sorghum is recommended to be used as an energy source in broiler feed.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hidayat_c2p@yahoo.com (C. Hidayat)

Introduction

Maize is major staple feed for poultry in the livestock industry but poses severe environmental issues every year through Indonesia (Sjofjan et al., 2021b). Mostly, Indonesia is still dependent on using soya bean meal, maize, and cassava as the staple diet for animals (Arifin et al., 2019). Adli (2021a, b) identified fluctuations in maize production from 19,612,435 t in 2015 up to 28,924,009 t in the 2019 valued at USD 233.47 million (Sjofjan et al., 2021b). In response to the Covid-19 pandemic, the Indonesian government imposed very strict rules on the import of goods and animals from other countries, including feed ingredients (Rohmi et al., 2021). Consequently, this encouraged research into alternative feed sources, with sorghum considered as one of the recommended feed ingredients to substitute for maize.

In some countries, in addition to maize, sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) is widely used as an energy source feedstuff in poultry feed (Mabelebele et al., 2018). Sorghum has been reported to have a nutrient composition comparable to maize (Rumler et al., 2021). Etuk et al. (2012) stated that compared to maize, sorghum has a higher protein content, but conversely, the energy and fat contents of maize are relatively higher than sorghum, while the amino acid contents in sorghum are comparable to maize. The lysine digestibility of sorghum has been reported to be similar to that of maize (da Silva et al., 2018). Several studies have shown that using sorghum as a substitute for maize has varied effects, some being positive regarding performance, while other experiments produced negative results (Torres et al., 2013; Fagundes et al., 2017; Saleh et al., 2019). Sorghum plants have the advantage of being able to grow under dry and hot climatic conditions (Deng et al., 2019; Emendack et al., 2021). In response to global warming, sorghum has become a plant that can be adaptive to future climate change, so it is necessary to maximize its use, including for feed ingredients. (Raza et al., 2019). Utilization of sorghum in the poultry diet is reported to have limitations, because sorghum has anti-nutritional compounds, such as tannin, kafirin and phytate. (Selle et al., 2018, 2021). Tannins bind nutrients to form complex compounds, making them resistant to breakdown in the digestive tract of poultry (Hassan et al., 2020). In addition, tannins have been reported to bind to protein and starch, thereby causing low protein and starch digestibility (Selle et al., 2010; Malisorn et al., 2020). Anwar et al. (2018) reported that most of the total P in sorghum is in the form of Phytate-P (81–83%). Phytate can bind protein and minerals so that it cannot be digested in the intestinal

tract of poultry (Humer et al., 2015; Dersjant-li et al., 2021). Kafirin is one form of protein in sorghum, with studies showing that the composition of kafirin in sorghum protein is in the range 49–54% (Soto et al., 2018). Kafirin is low in the amino acids lysine, histidine and arginine (Li et al., 2011).

Experiments including sorghum in a broiler chicken diet have produced varied experimental results caused by many factors, including the inclusion level of sorghum in the chicken feed, the experimental methodology, the type and quality of sorghum, the age of the broiler chickens and feeding patterns (Crisol-Martínez et al., 2017). Such variation in experimental results makes it challenging to reach firm conclusions regarding the effects of dietary sorghum inclusion in a broiler chicken diet, so meta-analysis methodology is an alternative option (St-Pierre, 2001; Sauvant et al., 2008; Hidayat et al., 2020). Meta-analysis research uses data from existing studies that have been carried out systematically and quantitatively to obtain accurate conclusions (Sjofjan et al., 2021a). Accordingly, the current study aimed to evaluate the effects of different level of sorghum utilization in a broiler chicken diet on production performance, mineral content (Ca and P) of the tibia, intestinal villi and nutrient digestibility using meta-analysis methodology.

Materials and Methods

Database development

The meta-analysis study followed the method in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines reported by Selcuk (2019). The information entered in the study database originated from published scientific articles that were interrogated using search engines (Scopus and Google Scholar) using the keywords “sorghum” and “broiler chicken”. In total, 100 articles were identified initially, but only 50 of these were suitable based on evaluation of the abstract, from which after examining the full text, 20 articles were obtained and included in the database (Table 1). The parameters entered in the database were: 1) performance of production (average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI), feed conversion ratio (FCR), mortality and carcass percentage); 2) content of tibia minerals (Ca and P); 3) intestinal villi; and 4) digestibility of nutrient. The level of sorghum utilization in the broiler chicken diet ranged from 0 (control) to 67.6% (Table 1). The control treatment in each study used maize as the energy source feed ingredient. The level of use of maize in the control

Table 1 List of selected studies used as data sources in this meta-analysis study

No.	Reference	Period (d)	Sorghum inclusion in the diet (%)	Feed ingredients in control treatment
1	Manyelo et al. (2019)	1–42	0–57.92	Maize
2	Saleh et al. (2019)	15–27	0–56.6	Maize
3	Xu et al. (2017)	1–42	0–60	Maize
4	Batonon-Alavo et al. (2016)	8–27	0–32	Maize
5	Tandiang et al. (2014)	1–43	0–50	Maize
6	Torres et al. (2013)	1–42	0–51.33	Maize
7	Abbas and Musharaf (2008)	1–42	54–57.1	Maize
8	Nyamambi et al. (2007)	1–56	0–57.3	Maize
9	Kumar et al. (2007)	1–28	0–67.6	Maize
10	Kyarasiima et al. (2004)	1–21	0–50	Maize
11	Ambula et al. (2001)	1–21	0–60	Maize
12	Pour-Reza and Edriss (1997)	1–42	0–58	Maize
13	Nyachoti et al. (1996)	1–21	0–56.25	Maize
14	Jacob et al. (1996)	1–28	0–53.75	Maize
15	Ibrahim et al. (1988)	1–35	0–58	Maize
16	Dale et al. (1980)	1–28	0–66	Maize
17	Farahat et al. (2020)	1–42	0–54.29	Maize
18	George et al. (2017)	1–35	0–27.54	Maize
19	Hulan and Proudfoot (1982)	1–42	0–58	Maize
20	Pasquali et al. (2017)	1–42	0–59.88	Maize

treatment was similar to the highest level of use for sorghum. Importantly, in data tabulation each parameter was analyzed in the same unit, to facilitate processing.

Data analysis

The database contents were analyzed using a mixed model based on the meta-analysis method (Sauvant et al., 2008; St-Pierre, 2001; Hidayat et al., 2021). Two types of statistical model were used in the meta-analysis, based on the predictor variable being discrete or continuous (see Equations 1 and 2). Sorghum inclusion in the broiler chicken diet was defined as a fixed effect, whereas the different studies were determined as random effects (declared in the RANDOM statement). Equation 1 presents the linear mixed model (LMM) in the 1st order and Equation 2 presents the LMM model in the 2nd order:

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Level}_{ij} + \text{Experiment}_i + \text{Experiment}_j \text{Level}_{ij} + e_{ij} \quad (1)$$

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Level}_{ij} + \beta_2 \text{Level}_{ij}^2 + \text{Experiment}_i + \text{Experiment}_j \text{Level}_{ij} + e_{ij} \quad (2)$$

where $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Level}_{ij}$ (1st order) and $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Level}_{ij} + \beta_2 \text{Level}_{ij}^2$ (2nd order) are the fixed effects for $\text{Experiment}_i +$

Experiment_j Level_{ij} (1st and 2nd order, respectively), β_0 is the overall intercept value across all experiments, β_1 is the linear regression coefficient of the 1st order, β_2 is the linear regression coefficient of the 2nd order, Level_{ij} is the additional level on the random effect.

When the respective quadratic regression model was not significant at $p < 0.05$, a linear regression model was applied. The model statistics used were the p value and the root mean square error, with significance tested at p -value <0.05 . Additionally, a p -value between 0.05 and 0.10 indicated an effect tended to be significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS Software version 9.1 (SAS, 2002). Results were presented as mean (\pm SD) values.

Results

Table 2 presents a statistical description of the data used. The mean dose based on all the data for sorghum utilization was $29.19 \pm 22.82\%$. The minimum level of sorghum inclusion was 0% (control). All the control treatments used maize as an energy source feed ingredient. The maximum use of sorghum

inclusion in this study was 67.60%. The mean ADG was 46.24 ± 25.08 g/bird/d, the mean ADFI was 78.08 ± 41.48 g/bird/d and the mean FCR was 1.78 ± 0.48 . The mean levels of Ca and P in the tibia were 896.60 ± 474.95 mg/L and 334 ± 160.70 mg/L, respectively. The mean values for nutrient digestibility for dry matter, protein and fat were $63.60 \pm 25.04\%$; $52.12 \pm 31.94\%$ and $87.88 \pm 5.10\%$, respectively. The mean villus height and crypt depth were 907.15 ± 240.86 μm and 381.44 ± 406.37 μm , respectively.

Table 3 shows the influence of dietary sorghum level utilization on the broiler chicken diet of the observed parameters. The level of dietary sorghum utilization did not significantly influence the main parameters of broiler chicken production performance (ADG, ADFI, FCR and mortality). The absence of significant effect indicated that sorghum could replace maize as the main energy source feed ingredient in the broiler chicken diet. The dietary sorghum inclusion level quadratically decreased ($p < 0.05$) the abdominal fat percentage. The low percentage of abdominal fat indicated better feed use efficiency. Unfortunately, the level of dietary sorghum inclusion decreased ($p < 0.05$) the carcass percentage. Dietary sorghum level utilization did not significantly affect intestinal villi (villus height and crypt depth) or the tibia

mineral content (Ca and P). Furthermore, the dietary sorghum level did not significantly affect DM and fat digestibility. However, the dietary sorghum level significantly decreased the digestibility of protein and the apparent metabolizable energy nitrogen-corrected (AMEn).

Discussion

The results showed that the dietary sorghum level inclusion did not significantly influence the main parameters of broiler chicken production performance (ADG, ADFI, FCR and mortality). In this study the control treatment for sorghum was 0%, where the energy source in the control treatment diet used maize. The highest level of use of dietary sorghum in this study was 67.60%. These results indicated that the use of sorghum had similar effects as the use of maize on the production performance of broiler chickens. Another positive effect of using dietary sorghum was the reduced abdominal fat percentage, which is closely related to carcass quality, with low abdominal fat indicating high carcass quality (Masenya et al., 2021). Torres et al. (2013) reported that the use of sorghum had no impact on broiler performance.

Table 2 Summary of descriptive statistics of variables and parameters observed in this study

No.	Parameter	Unit	Mean	SD	Max	Min
1	Level of sorghum inclusion	%	29.16	22.82	67.60	0.00
2	ADG	g/bird/d	46.24	25.08	139.00	8.30
3	ADFI	g/bird/d	78.08	41.48	206.67	12.50
4	FCR	g/g	1.78	0.48	4.06	0.00
5	Mortality	%	7.14	4.69	15.00	1.56
6	Carcass percentage	%	72.73	3.30	81.20	68.09
7	Abdominal fat	%	1.92	0.60	2.86	0.65
8	Ca in tibia	mg/L	896.60	474.95	1480.00	376.00
9	P in tibia	mg/L	334.00	160.70	520.00	130.00
10	Liver	%	2.55	0.85	3.72	1.73
11	AMEn	MJ/kg DM	12.83	0.63	13.45	11.91
12	Dry matter digestibility	%	63.60	25.04	82.91	0.51
13	Protein digestibility	%	52.12	31.94	81.50	0.50
14	Fat digestibility	%	87.88	5.10	93.46	79.20
15	Villus height (VH)	μm	907.15	240.86	1528	571
16	Crypt depth (CD)	μm	381.44	406.37	1423.00	91.00
17	VH/CD	$\mu\text{m}/\mu\text{m}$	0.88	0.17	1.10	0.55

Max = maximum value, Min = minimum value, ADG = average daily gain; ADFI = average daily feed intake; FCR = feed conversion ratio; AMEn = apparent metabolizable energy nitrogen-corrected; DM = dry matter; Ca = Calcium; P = Phosphorus

Table 3 Regression parameters for effects of level inclusion of dietary sorghum in broiler diet on performance, mineral content (Ca and P) of tibia, intestinal villi and nutrient digestibility

Parameter	Unit	Model	N	Intercept	SE Intercept	Slope	SE Slope	p-value	Sig.	RMSE	AIC
Broiler performance											
ADG	g/bird/d	L	224	42.15	4.52	0.0200	0.03180	0.5296	NS	1.773	1917.72
ADFI	g/bird/d	L	165	71.05	7.38	0.0648	0.03444	0.0617	NS	1.733	1555.41
FCR	g/g	L	202	1.72	0.11	0.0002	0.00074	0.7868	NS	1.703	124.79
Mortality	%	L	10	6.99	3.30	-0.0388	0.06902	0.5914	NS	0.872	66.06
Carcass percentage	%	L	15	71.95	1.05	-0.0113	0.00000	< 0.001	**	1.232	88.10
Liver	%	L	10	2.49	0.53	-0.0006	0.00177	0.7568	NS	0.828	22.41
Abdominal fat	%	Q	36	1.27	0.39	0.0183	0.00288	< 0.001	**	0.00005	74.04
Intestinal villi											
VH	μm	L	40	967.44	79.84	-1.3899	1.71997	0.4242	NS	1.365	548.50
CD	μm	L	34	549.00	412.57	-0.0469	0.34630	0.8932	NS	1.135	388.25
Ratio of VH/CD	μm/μm	L	10	0.92	0.18	-0.0012	0.00277	0.6658	NS	1.095	13.87
Mineral in tibia											
Ca	mg/L	L	10	876.68	506.38	0.6880	7.78093	0.9317	NS	0.874	140.89
P	mg/L	L	10	312.11	170.54	0.7558	2.62042	0.7804	NS	0.878	123.48
Nutrient digestibility											
Dry matter	%	L	39	60.69	12.14	-0.0066	0.00386	0.0964	NS	1.568	171.63
Protein	%	L	20	53.32	18.27	-0.0023	0.00103	0.0420	*	1.077	101.86
Fat	%	L	19	85.84	3.43	0.0055	0.0145	0.7100	NS	1.084	87.45
AMEn	MJ/kg DM	L	10	13.23	0.17	-0.0092	0.00134	0.0002	**	0.915	24.70

N = number; ADG = average daily gain; ADFI = average daily feed intake; FCR = feed conversion ratio; AMEn = apparent metabolizable energy nitrogen-corrected; VH = villus height; CD = crypt depth; Ca = calcium; P = phosphorus; Q = quadratic; L = linear; AIC = Akaike information criterion, where AIC is an estimator of the relative quality of statistical models for a given set of data (smaller is better); RMSE = root mean square error; NS = not significant; * = significant ($p < 0.05$); ** = significant ($p < 0.01$)

The current study showed that sorghum had a positive impact when used as an energy source in the broiler diet. Several reports showed that sorghum had a similar chemical composition to maize (Mabelebele et al., 2015; Sekhon et al., 2016; Masenya et al., 2021). The protein content, total P and available P in sorghum are slightly higher than for maize, but the metabolic energy, calcium and fat contents for maize are higher than for sorghum (Puntigam et al., 2021). Sorghum contains higher levels of the amino acids threonine, isoleucine, leucine, tyrosine, phenylalanine and valine than maize (Thomas et al., 2020) but lower contents of the amino acids lysine, methionine, tryptophan, histidine and cystine than maize. Furthermore, essential amino acid digestibility for sorghum and maize are similar (Brestensky et al., 2012). The crude fiber content of sorghum was slightly higher than for maize (Mabelebele et al., 2015; Sekhon et al., 2016; Masenya et al., 2021). The similar chemical compositions between sorghum and maize could explain why the dietary sorghum inclusion level did not significantly affect broiler chicken performance compared to the control. Sorghum was recommended to replace maize in a poultry diet (Getachew et al., 2016). The protein content of sorghum varies from 6% to 10% (Mofokeng et al., 2018). The main energy source on sorghum comes from starch which in sorghum is in the range 63–74% (Gerrano et al., 2014). Even though sorghum has anti-nutritional compounds, such as kafirin, phytate and tannin, the current meta-analysis study indicated such compounds had no impact on the production performance of broiler chickens. Furthermore, the anti-nutritional compounds in sorghum can be minimized using several methods (Hodges et al., 2021), including chemicals, mechanical detoxification and supplementation with amino acids and minerals (Qaku et al., 2020). Processing of sorghum by grinding and making pellets is a popular technique for increasing the nutritional value based on a physical method before use as poultry feed (da Silva et al., 2018). The current meta-analysis study showed that the dietary sorghum inclusion level did not significantly affect intestinal villi (VH, CD and their ratio VH/CD). Thus, the use of sorghum did not affect the surface area of intestinal villi which is where absorption of nutrients occurs, so that a higher villi surface area increases sites for nutrient absorption (Silva et al., 2015). Several reports have shown that tannin affected the villi surface area and subsequently reduced nutrient absorption in the gastrointestinal tract (Mahfuz et al., 2021). The physical and chemical properties of feed ingredients can affect the anatomy and histology of digestive organs (Silva et al., 2015). However, the current meta-analysis study did not identify any negative influences on intestinal villi from the dietary sorghum inclusion level in the broiler chicken diet.

The level of dietary sorghum inclusion did not significantly affect the tibia mineral content of Ca and P, indicating that although sorghum has anti-nutritional compounds (especially phytate that can bind minerals, such as Ca and P), the current results indicated that dietary sorghum did not reduce the P and Ca contents in the tibia. The Ca and P contents of the tibia are indicators of the absorption process in the small intestine for minerals from the diet. The lack of any significant effect of the dietary sorghum level inclusion on the tibia mineral contents (Ca and P) showed that the concentration of phytate in sorghum did not significantly disturb Ca and P digestion and absorption. Nevertheless, phytates are organic compounds composed of phytic acid and phosphorus in cereal grains (Gupta et al., 2015). Aureli et al. (2017) reported that the phytate-P content in sorghum was of 2.1–2.4 g/kg. However, in a report by Tasie and Gebreyes (2020) it was stated that sorghum was a cereal grain that had a high phytate content.

Sorghum utilization in broiler chicken diets significantly reduced protein digestibility and AMEn. The decrease in protein digestibility and AMEn in the current meta-analysis study was closely related to the anti-nutrients contained in sorghum (tannin and phytate) that can bind nutrients, especially protein and starch and forming undigestable complex bonds in the small intestine (Hodges et al., 2021). Decreasing the protein content and AMEn digestibility can affect the phytate binding activity. AMEn indicates the metabolic energy resulting from the digestion of all nutrients in the diet, including protein and starch (Romero et al., 2014). There are some limiting factors that affect the replacement of maize with sorghum, such as the digestive adaptation capacities of broiler chickens to the sensory environmental in terms of the characteristics of the feed given.

Conclusion

This meta-analysis study recommended utilization of sorghum as an energy source feedstuff in a broiler chicken diet. Using sorghum in the broiler diet had no significant effects on broiler chicken production performance, intestinal villi, tibia mineral content and nutrient digestibility compared to the control diet (a maize base diet). The results from the current study indicated that sorghum could be in chicken diets to reduce the dependence on maize.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

Co-operation from the Animal Feed and Nutrition Modeling (AFENUE) Research Group, IPB University, Indonesia ensured the successful completion of the project.

References

Abbas, T.E., Musharaf, N.A. 2008. The effect of germination of low tannin sorghum grains on its nutrient contents and broiler chicken chick's performance. *Pak. J. Nutr.* 7: 470–474. doi: 10.3923/pjn.2008.470.474

Adli, D.N. 2021a. Use of insects in poultry feed as replacement soya bean meal and fish meal in development countries: A systematic review. *Livest. Res. Rural. Dev.* 33: 128.

Adli, D.N. 2021b. The effect of replacing fish meal with Sago larvae meal (SLM) on egg production and quality of laying hens. *Livest. Res. Rural. Dev.* 33: 94.

Ambula, M.K., Oduho, G.W., Tuitoek, J.K. 2001. Effects of sorghum tannins, a tannin binder (polyvinylpyrrolidone) and sorghum inclusion level on the performance of broiler chicken chicks. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 14: 1276–1281. doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2001.1276

Anwar, M.N., Ravindran, V., Morel, P.C.H., Ravindran, G., Cowieson, A.J. 2018. Measurement of the true ileal calcium digestibility of some feed ingredients for broiler chicken chickens. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* 237: 118–128. doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2018.01.010

Arifin, B., Achsani, N.A., Martianto, D., Sari, L.K., Firdaus, A.H. 2019. The future of Indonesian food consumption. *J. Ekonomi Indonesia.* 8: 71–102

Aureli, R., Ueberschlag, Q., Klein, F., Noël, C., Guggenbuhl, P. 2017. Use of near infrared reflectance spectroscopy to predict phytate phosphorus, total phosphorus, and crude protein of common poultry feed ingredients. *Poultry Sci.* 96: 160–168. doi.org/10.3382/ps/pew214

Batonon-Alavo, D.I., Bastianelli, D., Lescoat, P., Weber, G.M., Faruk, M.U. 2016. Simultaneous inclusion of sorghum and cottonseed meal or millet in broiler chicken diets: Effects on performance and nutrient digestibility. *Animal* 10: 1118–1128. doi.org/10.1017/S1751731116000033

Brestensky, M., Nitrayova, S., Patras, P., Heger, J. 2012. The quality of sorghum grain in aspect of utilization amino acids in pigs. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Food Sci.* 1: 1032–1039.

Crisol-Martínez, E., Stanley, D., Geier, M.S., Hughes, R.J., Moore, R.J. 2017. Sorghum and wheat differentially affect caecal microbiota and associated performance characteristics of meat chickens. *PeerJ* 5: e3071. doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3071

da Silva, P.G., Oliveira, L.M.S., de Oliveira, N.R., de Moura Júnior, F.A., Silva, M.R.S., Cordeiro, D.A., Dos Santos, F.R. 2018. Effects of processing, particle size and moisturizing of sorghum-based feeds on pellet quality and broiler chicken production. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 31: 98–105. doi.org/10.5713/ajas.17.0473

Dale, N.M., Wyatt, R.D., Fuller, H.L. 1980. Additive toxicity of aflatoxin and dietary tannins in broiler chicken chicks. *Poult. Sci.* 59: 2417–2420. doi.org/10.3382/ps.0592417

Deng, B., Bada, B., Tammeorg, P., Helenius, J., Luukkanen, O., Starr, M. 2019. Drought stress and *Acacia seyal* biochar effects on sorghum gas

exchange and yield: A greenhouse experiment. *Agr. Nat. Resour.* 53: 573–580. doi.org/10.34044/j.anres.2019.53.6.03

Dersjant-Li, Y., Davin, R., Christensen, T., Kwakernaak, C. 2021. Effect of two phytases at two doses on performance and phytate degradation in broilers during 1–21 days of age. *PLoS One* 16: e0247420. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247420

Emendack, Y., Sanchez, J., Hayes, C., Nesbitt, M., Laza, H., Burke, J. 2021. Seed-to-seed early-season cold resiliency in sorghum. *Sci. Rep.* 11: 7801. doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87450-1

Etuk, E.B., Ifeduba, A.V., Okata, U.E., Chiaka, I., Okoli, I.C., Okeudo, N.J., Moreki, J.C. 2012. Nutrient composition and feeding value of sorghum for livestock and poultry: A review. *J. Anim. Sci. Adv.* 2: 510–524.

Fagundes, N.S., Pereira, R., Bortoluzzi, C., Rafael, J.M., Nappy, G.S., Barbosa, J.G.M., Scienza, M.C.M., Menten, J.F.M. 2017. Replacing corn with sorghum in the diet alters intestinal microbiota without altering chicken performance. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 101: e371–e382. doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12614

Farahat, M., Badawi, M., Hussein, A., Attia, G. 2020. Effect of replacing dietary maize by sorghum on the growth performance, shank skin pigmentation, carcass traits, caecal microflora and nutrient digestibility of broiler chickens. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 19: 424–431. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2020.424.431

George, A., Habeanu, M., Olteanu, M., Turku, P.R., Dragomir, K. 2017. Effects of dietary sorghum and triticale on performance, carcass traits and meat pH in broiler chicken chickens. *Food Feed Res.* 44: 181–187. doi: 10.5937/FFR1702181G

Gerrano, A.S., Labuschagne, M.T., van Biljon, A., Shargie, N.G. 2014. Genetic variability among sorghum accessions for seed starch and stalk total sugar content. *Sci. Agric.* 71: 472–479. doi.org/10.1590/0103-9016-2013-0322

Getachew, G., Putnam, D.H., De Ben, C.M., De Peters, E.J. 2016. Potential of sorghum as an alternative to corn forage. *Am. J. Plant Sci.* 7: 1106–1121. doi: 10.4236/ajps.2016.77106

Gupta, R.K., Gangoliya, S.S., Singh, N.K. 2015. Reduction of phytic acid and enhancement of bioavailable micronutrients in food grains. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 52: 676–684. doi.org/10.1007/s13197-013-0978-y

Hassan, Z.M., Manyelo, T.G., Selaledi, L., Mabelebele, M. 2020. The effects of tannins in monogastric animals with special reference to alternative feed ingredients. *Molecules* 25: 4680. doi.org/10.3390/molecules25204680

Hidayat, C., Sumiati, A.J., Wina, E. 2020. Effect of zinc on the immune response and production performance of broiler chickens: A meta-analysis. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 33: 465–479. doi.org/10.5713/ajas.19.0146

Hidayat, C., Irawan, A., Jayanegara, A., Sholikin, M.M., Prihambodo, T.R., Yanza, Y.R., Isbandi, I. 2021. Effect of dietary tannins on the performance, lymphoid organ weight, and amino acid ileal digestibility of broiler chicken chickens: A meta-analysis. *Vet. World* 14: 1405–1411.

Hodges, H.E., Walker, H.J., Cowieson, A.J., Falconer, R.J., Cameron, D.D. 2021. Latent anti-nutrients and unintentional breeding consequences in Australian *Sorghum bicolor* varieties. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12: 625260. doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.625260

Hulan, H.W., Proudfoot, F.G. 1982. Nutritive value of sorghum grain for broiler chickens. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 62: 869–875.

Humer, E., Schwarz, C., Schedle, K. 2015. Phytate in pig and poultry nutrition. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. (Berl)* 99: 605–625. doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12258

Ibrahim, S., Fisher, C., Alaily, H.E., Soliman, H., Anwar, A. 1988. Improvement of the nutritional quality of Egyptian and Sudanese sorghum grains by the addition of phosphates. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 29: 721–728. doi.org/10.1080/00071668808417100

Jacob, J.P., Mitaru, B.N., Mbugua, P.N., Blair, R. 1996. The effect of substituting Kenyan serena sorghum for maize in broiler chicken starter diets with different dietary crude protein and methionine levels. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 61: 27–39.

Kumar, V., Elangovan, A.V., Mandal, A.B., Tyagi, P.K., Bhanja, S.K., Dash, B.B. 2007. Effects of feeding raw or reconstituted high tannin red sorghum on nutrient utilisation and certain welfare parameters of broiler chicken chickens. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 48: 198–204. doi.org/10.1080/00071660701251089

Kyarisiima, C.C., Okot, M.W., Svhuis, B. 2004. Use of wood ash in the treatment of high tannin sorghum for poultry feeding. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 34: 110–115.

Li, N., Wang, Y., Tilley, M., Bean, S.R., Wu, X., Sun, X.S., Wang, D. 2011. Adhesive performance of sorghum protein extracted from sorghum DDGS and flour. *J. Polym. Environ.* 19: 755–765. doi.org/10.1007/s10924-011-0305-5

Mabelebele, M., Siwela, M., Gous, R.M., Iji, P.A. 2015. Chemical composition and nutritive value of South African sorghum varieties as feed for broiler chicken chickens. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 45: 206–213. dx.doi.org/10.4314/SAJAS.V45I2.12.

Mabelebele, M., Gous, R.M., O'Neil, H.M., Iji, P.A. 2018. Whole sorghum inclusion and feed form on performance and nutrient digestibility of broiler chicken chickens. *J. Appl. Anim. Nutr.* 6: e5. doi.org/10.1017/JAN.2018.3

Mahfuz, S., Shang, Q., Piao, X. 2021. Phenolic compounds as natural feed additives in poultry and swine diets: A review. *J. Animal Sci. Biotechnol.* 12: 48. doi.org/10.1186/s40104-021-00565-3

Malisorn, M., Akkaramadhrakul, P.O., Songserm, T., Wannachart, S., Paraksa, N. 2020. Effects of dietary mixed herbal extracted product supplementation on fatty liver hemorrhagic syndrome protection and productive performances of broilers. *Agr. Nat. Resour.* 54: 485–490. doi.org/10.34044/j.anres.2020.54.5.04

Manyelo, T.G., Ng'ambi, J.W., Norris, D., Mabelebele, M. 2019. Substitution of *Zea mays* by *Sorghum bicolor* on performance and gut histo-morphology of Ross 308 broiler chickens aged 1–42 d. *J. Appl. Poultry Res.* 28: 647–657. doi.org/10.3382/japr/pfz015

Masenya, T.I., Mlambo, V., Mnisi, C.M. 2021. Complete replacement of maize grain with sorghum and pearl millet grains in Jumbo quail diets: Feed intake, physiological parameters, and meat quality traits. *PLoS One* 16: e0249371. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249371

Mofokeng, M.A., Shimelis, H., Tongoona, P., Laing, M.D. 2018. Protein content and amino acid composition among selected South African sorghum genotypes. *J. Food Chem. Nutr.* 6: 01–12.

Mosse, J., Huet, J.C., Baudet, J. 1988. The amino acid composition of whole sorghum grain in relation to its nitrogen content. *Cereal Chem.* 65: 271–277.

Nyachoti, C.M., Atkinson, J.L., Leeson, S. 1996. Response of broiler chicken chicks fed a high-tannin sorghum diet. *J. Appl. Poultry Res.* 5: 239–245. doi.org/10.1093/japr/5.3.239

Nyamambi, B., Ndlovu, L.R., Naik, Y.S., Kock, N.D. 2007. Intestinal growth and function of broiler chicken chicks fed sorghum based diets differing in condensed tannin levels. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 37: 202–214.

Pasquali, G.A.M., Fascina, V.B., Silva, et al. 2017. Maize replacement with sorghum and a combination of protease, xylanase, and phytase on performance, nutrient utilization, litter moisture, and digestive organ size in broiler chicken. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 97: 328–337. doi.org/10.1139/cjas-2016-0133

Pour-Reza, J., Edriss, M.A. 1997. Effects of dietary sorghum of different tannin concentrations and tallow supplementation on the performance of broiler chicken chicks. *Brit. Poult. Sci.* 38: 512–517. doi.org/10.1080/00071669708418030

Puntigam, R., Slama, J., Brugger, D., Leitner, K., Schedle, K., Wetscherek-Seipelt, G., Wetscherek, W. 2021. Fermentation of whole grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) with different dry matter concentrations: Effect on the apparent total tract digestibility of energy, crude nutrients and minerals in growing pigs. *Animals* 11: 1199. doi.org/10.3390/ani11051199

Qaku, X.W., Adetunji, A., Dlamini, B.C. 2020. Fermentability and nutritional characteristics of sorghum *Mahewu* supplemented with Bambara groundnut. *J. Food Sci.* 85: 1661–1667. doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.15154

Raza, A., Razzaq, A., Mehmood, S.S., Zou, X., Zhang, X., Lv, Y., Xu, J. 2019. Impact of climate change on crops adaptation and strategies to tackle its outcome: A review. *Plants* 8: 34. doi.org/10.3390/plants8020034

Rohmi, L.R., Jaya, T.J., Syamsiyah, N. 2021. The effects pandemic covid-19 on Indonesia foreign trade. *J. Ekonomi*. 26 : 277–289. doi.org/10.24912/je.v26i2.75 (in Indonesian)

Romero, L.F., Sands, J.S., Indrakumar, S.E., Plumstead, P.W., Dalsgaard, S., Ravindran, V. 2014. Contribution of protein, starch, and fat to the apparent ileal digestible energy of corn- and wheat-based broiler diets in response to exogenous xylanase and amylase without or with protease. *Poult. Sci.* 93: 2501–2513. doi.org/10.3382/ps.2013-03789

Rumler, R., Bender, D., Speranza, S., Frauenlob, J., Gamper, L., Hoek, J., Jäger, H., Schönlechner, R. 2021. Chemical and physical characterization of sorghum milling fractions and sorghum whole meal flours obtained via stone or roller milling. *Foods* 10: 870. doi.org/10.3390/foods10040870

Saleh, A.A., Abudabos, A.M., Ali, M.H., Ebeid, T.A. 2019. The effects of replacing corn with low-tannin sorghum in broiler chicken's diet on growth performance, nutrient digestibilities, lipid peroxidation and gene expressions related to growth and antioxidative properties. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.* 47: 532–539. doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2019.1680377

Sauvant, D., Schmidely, P., Daudin, J.J., St-Pierre, N.R. 2008. Meta-analyses of experimental data in animal nutrition. *Animal* 2: 1203–1214. doi.org/10.1017/S1751731108002280

SAS. 2002. User's Guide Statistics, Version 9.1. SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC.

Sekhon, R.S., Breitzman, M.W., Silva, R.R., Santoro, N., Rooney, W.L., de Leon, N., Kaepller, S.M. 2016. Stover composition in maize and sorghum reveals remarkable genetic variation and plasticity for carbohydrate accumulation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7: 822. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00822

Selcuk, A.A. 2019. A guide for systematic reviews: PRISMA. *Turk. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 57: 57–58. doi: 10.5152/tao.2019.4058

Selle, P.H., Cadogan, D.J., Li, X., Bryden, W.L. 2010. Implications of sorghum in broiler chicken nutrition. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* 156: 57–74. doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.01.004

Selle, P.H., Moss, A.F., Truong, H.H., Khoddami, A., Cadogan, D.J., Godwin, I.D., Liu, S.Y. 2018. Outlook: Sorghum as a feed grain for Australian chicken-meat production. *Anim. Nutr.* 4: 17–30. doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2017.08.007

Selle, P.H., Hughes, R.J., Godwin, I.D., Khoddami, A., Chrystal, P.V., Liu, S.Y. 2021. Addressing the shortfalls of sorghum as a feed grain for chicken-meat production. *Worlds Poult. Sci. J.* 77: 29–41. doi.org/10.1080/00439339.2020.1866966

Silva, M.C.A., Acxg, C., Litz, F.H., Fagundes, N.S., Fernandes, E.D.A., Mendonça, G.A. 2015. Effects of sorghum on broiler gastrointestinal tract. *Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic.* 17: 95–101. doi.org/10.1590/1516-635x170195-102

Sjofjan, O., Adli, D.N., Harahap, R.P., Jayanegara, A., Utama, D.T., Seruni, A.P. 2021a. The effects of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts as probiotics on the growth performance, relative organ weight, blood parameters, and immune responses of broiler chicken: A meta-analysis. *F1000Res.* 10: 183. doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.51219.3

Sjofjan, O., Adli, D.N., Natsir, M.H., Nuningtyas, Y.F., Wardani, T.S., Sholichatunnisa, I., Ulpah, S.N., Firmansyah, O. 2021b. Effect of dietary modified-banana-tuber meal substituting dietary corn on growth performance, carcass trait and dietary-nutrients digestibility of coloured-feather hybrid duck. *JITV.* 26: 39–48. dx.doi.org/10.14334/jitv.v26i1.2686

Soto, J.G.G., de Souza, T.C.R., Landin, G.M., Barreyro, A.A., Santos, M.G.B., García, K.E. 2018. Gastrointestinal morphophysiology and presence of kafrins in ileal digesta in growing pigs fed sorghum-based diets. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.* 46: 618–625. doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2017.1371607

St-Pierre, N.R. 2001. Invited review: Integrating quantitative findings from multiple studies using mixed model methodology. *J. Dairy Sci.* 84: 741–755.

Tandiang, D.M., Diop, M.T., Dieng, A., Yoda, G.M.L., Cisse, N., Nassim, M. 2014. Effect of Maize substitution by sorghum grain with low tannin content on broiler chickens production: Animal performance, nutrient digestibility and carcass characteristics. *Int. J. Poultry Sci.* 13: 568–574. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2014.568.574

Tasie, M.M., Gebreyes, B.G. 2020. Characterization of nutritional, antinutritional, and mineral contents of thirty-five sorghum varieties grown in Ethiopia. *Int. J. Food Sci.* 2020: 8243617. doi.org/10.1155/2020/8243617

Thomas, L.L., Espinosa, C.D., Goodband, R.D., Stein, H.H., Tokach, M.D., Dritz, S.S., DeRouchey, J.M. 2020. Nutritional evaluation of different varieties of sorghum and the effects on nursery pig growth performance. *J. Anim. Sci.* 98: 1–16. doi.org/10.1093/jas/skaa120

Torres, K.A.A., Pizauro Jr., J.M., Soares, C.P., Silva, T.G.A., Nogueira, W.C.L., Campos, D.M.B., Furlan, R.L., Macari, M. 2013a. Effects of corn replacement by sorghum in broiler chicken diets on performance and intestinal mucosa integrity. *Poult. Sci.* 92: 1564–1571. doi.org/10.3382/ps.2012-02422

Xu, X., Wang, H.L., Pan, L., et al. 2017. Effects of coated proteases on the performance, nutrient retention, gut morphology and carcass traits of broilers fed corn or sorghum based diets supplemented with soybean meal. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* 223: 119–127. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.10.015