



The Dynamics of Chromosome Evolution in Reptiles

วิวัฒนาการของโครโมโซมในสัตว์เลื้อยคลาน

Kornorn Srikulnath

Laboratory of Animal Cytogenetics and Comparative Genomics,

Department of Genetics, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900

Laboratory of Animal Genetics, Department of Applied Molecular Biosciences, Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

e-mail: kornorn.s@ku.ac.th; ksrikulnath@yahoo.com

Sauropsida (all existing reptiles and birds) comprises Archosauromorpha (birds, crocodiles, and turtles) and Lepidosauromorpha (tuataras and squamate reptiles). Squamate reptiles have a variable number of chromosomes ($2n = 30-50$), and their karyotypes are basically categorized into two types: the karyotype with few or no dot-shaped microchromosomes; and the other type consisting of macrochromosomes and many microchromosomes (Olmo and Signorino 2005). This karyotypic feature is also observed in birds and turtles, which comprise a small number of macrochromosomes and a lot of indistinguishable microchromosomes (Belterman and De Boer 1984; Olmo and Signorino 2005). On the contrary, the karyotype of crocodiles contains macrochromosomes with only a few large chromosomes, and the absence of dot-shaped microchromosomes. Comparison of the chromosome maps of Chinese soft-shelled turtle (*Pelodiscus sinensis*), Siamese crocodile (*Crocodylus siamensis*), Japanese four-striped rat snake (*Elaphe quadrivirgata*), and butterfly lizard (*Leiolepis reevesii rubritaeniata*) with chicken (*Gallus gallus*) revealed that genetic linkages have been highly conserved between Aves, Testudines, Crocodylia, and Squamata (Matsuda *et al.*, 2005; Matsubara *et al.*, 2006, 2012; Srikulnath *et al.*, 2009a,b; Uno *et al.*, 2012) since the Sauropsida diverged from the Synapsida around 320 million years ago (Shedlock and Edwards, 2009).

Comparing karyotypes in the Sauropsida, birds, turtles and crocodiles represent high karyotype conservation, whereas squamate reptiles show great karyotype variability. This appearance probably associated with species richness of Squamata (Olmo 2008). The most common chromosome number in snakes is $2n = 36$: their chromosomes contain 16 macrochromosomes and 20 microchromosomes, whereas worm lizards show a large variation in chromosome number ($2n = 30-50$; Olmo and Signorino, 2005). The extant lizards (Lacertilia) also exhibit a large variation in both chromosome number ($2n = 24-46$) and chromosome morphology (Olmo and Signorino, 2005). Karyotypes with few or no microchromosomes are found in the lacertid lizards and in geckos, whereas karyotypes containing many microchromosomes are found in the remaining group of squamate reptiles. Such phylogenetic pattern in the presence or absence of microchromosomes suggests that geckos retain the ancestral state of squamate karyotypes, and microchromosomes appeared by the breakage of macrochromosomes that occurred in the lineage of squamate reptiles. However, this chromosomal constitution is not observed in the majority of squamate reptiles; therefore, the alternative explanation is that the microchromosomes disappeared by fusions between macro- and microchromosomes and/or between microchromosomes in the lineage of geckos. Comparison of genetic linkages among squamate reptiles revealed that the

linkage groups of squamate reptile macrochromosome segments are highly conserved, and also in some chromosomes of gecko. The remaining of gecko chromosome pairs are composed of chromosome segments homologous to squamate reptile microchromosomes (Matsubara *et al.*, 2006, 2012; Srikulnath *et al.*, 2009a,b, unpublished data; Uno *et al.*, 2012). By contrast, the macro- and microchromosomes of the turtle are actual counterparts of those of chicken, suggesting that the ancestral karyotype of Archosauromorpha, which was probably composed of at least eight pairs of macrochromosomes and many indistinguishable microchromosomes, has been highly conserved more than 250 million years since they diverged from Lepidosauromorpha (Matsuda *et al.* 2005; Shedlock and Edwards 2009; Uno *et al.* 2012).

Interestingly, one different feature between macro- and microchromosomes, which are so-called chromosome size-dependent genomic compartmentalization, is found in chicken and the turtle in term of centromere-specific repetitive sequences. The identification of several types of microchromosome-specific centromeric repetitive sequence in primitive birds, galliform birds, and the turtle (Matzke *et al.* 1990, 1992; Tanaka *et al.* 2000; Yamada *et al.* 2002a, 2002b, 2005) implies that homogenization of the centromeric repetitive sequences did not occur between macro- and microchromosomes in these species. However, no chromosome-specific centromeric repetitive sequences are found in crocodiles (Kawagoshi *et al.* 2008). These findings indicate that the chromosomal size-dependent genomic compartmentalization which is supposedly unique to the Archosauromorpha was probably lost in the crocodilian lineage with the disappearance of microchromosomes, followed by the homogenization of centromeric repetitive sequences between chromosomes. In squamate reptilian lineages, the centromeric repetitive sequences of lacertid lizards (each have only one pair of microchromosomes) were located in the centromeric regions of all chromosomes (Capriglione *et al.* 1989, 1991, 1994, 1998), while those of varanid lizard were located in some macrochromosomes and microchromosomes (Chaiprasertsri *et al.* unpublished data). This suggests that the repetitive sequences have evolved in a concerted manner across macro-and microchromosomes without chromosome size-dependent genomic compartmentalization. Unfortunately, chromosome-specific repetitive sequences have been identified for only a few squamate reptilian lineages, and it is still unknown if this type of repetitive sequences is common in squamate reptiles. More analyses of repetitive sequences are required for other squamate reptiles to obtain a better understanding of the dynamics of chromosome structure associated with karyotype evolution, and to provide insight into the phylogenetic hierarchy of genome evolution in reptiles.

REFERENCES

- Belterman RHB, De Boer LEM. A karyological study of 55 species of birds, including karyotypes of 39 species new to cytology. *Genetica*. 1984. 65:39–82.
- Capriglione T, Olmo E, Odierna G, Smith DI, Miller OJ. Genome composition and tandemly repetitive sequence at some centromeres in the lizard *Podarcis s. sicula* Raf. *Genetica*. 1989. 9:85–91.
- Capriglione T, Cardone A, Odierna G, Olmo E. Evolution of a centromeric satellite DNA and phylogeny of lacertid lizards. *Comp Biochem Physiol B* 1991. 100(3):641–645.

- Capriglione T, Cardone A, Odierna G, Olmo E. Further data on the occurrence and evolution of satellite DNA families in the lacertid genome. *Chromosome Res.* 1994. 2:327–330.
- Capriglione T, De Santo MG, Odierna G, Olmo E. An alphoid-like satellite DNA sequence is present in the genome of lacertid lizard. *J Mol Evol.* 1998;46:240–244.
- Kawagoshi T, Nishida C, Ota H, Kumazawa Y, Endo H, Matsuda Y. Molecular structures of centromeric heterochromatin and karyotypic evolution in the Siamese crocodile (*Crocodylus siamensis*) (Crocodylidae, Crocodylia). *Chromosome Res.* 2008. 16(8):1119–1132
- Matsubara K, Tarui H, Toriba M *et al.* Evidence for different origin of sex chromosomes in snakes, birds, and mammals and step-wise differentiation of snake sex chromosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2006. 103:18190–18195.
- Matsubara K, Kuraku S, Tarui H *et al.* Intra-genomic GC heterogeneity in sauropsids: evolutionary insights from cDNA mapping and GC₃ profiling in snake. *BMC Genomics.* 2012. 13:604.
- Matsuda Y, Nishida-Umehara C, Tarui H *et al.* Highly conserved linkage homology between birds and turtles: bird and turtle chromosomes are precise counterparts of each other. *Chromosome Res.* 2005. 13:601–615.
- Matzke MA, Varga F, Berger H, Scherthaner J, Schweizer D, Mayr B, Matzke AJ. A 41-42 bp tandemly repeated sequence isolated from nuclear envelopes of chicken erythrocytes is located predominantly on microchromosomes. *Chromosoma.* 1990. 99:131–137.
- Matzke AJ, Varga F, Gruendler P, Unfried I, Berger H, Mayr B, Matzke MA. Characterization of a new repetitive sequence that is enriched on microchromosomes of turkey. *Chromosoma.* 1992. 102:9–14.
- Olmo E. Trends in the evolution of reptilian chromosomes. *Integr Comp Biol.* 2008. 18(4):486–493.
- Olmo E, Signorino G. Chromorep: a reptile chromosomes database. Internet references. 2005. Retrieved from: <http://193.206.118.100/professori/chromorep.pdf>
- Tanaka K, Suzuki T, Nojiri T, Yamagata T, Namikawa T, Matsuda Y. Characterization and chromosomal distribution of a novel satellite DNA sequence of Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*). *J Hered.* 2000. 91:412–415.
- Shedlock AM, Edwards SV. Amniota. In: Hedges SB, Kumar S (eds) *The TimeTree of life*. New York: Oxford University Press, New York, 2009. pp 375–379.
- Srikulnath K, Matsubara K, Uno Y *et al.* Karyological characterization of the butterfly lizard (*Leiolepis reevesii rubritaeniata*, Agamidae, Squamata) by molecular cytogenetic approach. *Cytogenet Genome Res.* 2009a. 125:213–223.
- Srikulnath K, Nishida C, Matsubara K *et al.* Karyotypic evolution in squamate reptiles: comparative gene mapping revealed highly conserved linkage homology between the butterfly lizard (*Leiolepis reevesii rubritaeniata*, Agamidae, Lacertilia) and the Japanese four-striped rat snake (*Elaphe quadrivirgata*, Colubridae, Serpentes). *Chromosome Res.* 2009b. 17:975–986.
- Uno Y, Nishida C, Tarui H *et al.* Inference of the protokaryotypes of amniotes and tetrapods and the evolutionary processes of microchromosomes from comparative gene mapping. *PLoS ONE.* 2012. 7: e53027.